·E4AS No.5

ALABAMA SOYBEAN VARIETY TESTS 1971



Donald L. Thurlow
April 1972

Department of Agronomy and Soils

Departmental Series No. 5

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION AUBURN UNIVERSITY

E. V. Smith, Director

Auburn, Alabama

INTRODUCTION

Before a soybean variety is considered for planting, it should be evaluated at a number of locations and planting dates and over a period of years. This will tend to average the differences in soil and climatic conditions that occur throughout the State. The most critical factor in soybean production is moisture and the most critical moisture period is during pod development and filling. Since soybeans are highly photoperiodic and maturity date of a particular variety does not vary greatly from year to year, then varieties from more than one maturity group must be evaluated.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A randomized block design in 4 replications was used at each of 6 locations.

One to three planting dates were used at each location with the first planting at the optimal time for maximum yield. All locations were on units of the Auburn University Agricultural Experiment Station. All plots were 4 rows wide and 20 ft long with 16 ft of 2 center rows harvested for yield determinations. All plots were planted with a John Deere Flexi Planter with double disc openers. Row width varied from 36 inches to 42 inches depending on location.

SEASONAL CONDITIONS

Early in the 1971 season moisture was good and adequate stands were obtained at all plantings. Moisture was good during the early growth at all locations and probably excessive for the heavy soil at Black Belt Substation. Late season moisture was adequate in 1971 at all locations. Conditions were different during the 1968 and 1969 seasons as there was moisture stress at most locations during late pod fill.

DATA RECORDED

Yield of soybeans determined by hand harvesting the 2 center rows of each plot was threshed with a plot thresher. The yields were adjusted to 13% moisture and converted to bushels (60 lb.) per acre.

Maturity was taken as the date when the pods are dry and most of the leaves have dropped. Under most conditions, the stems are also dry.

Lodging was based on a scale of 1 to 5 according to the following criteria:

- 1 almost all plants erect.
- 2 either all plants leaning slightly (less than 45°) or a few plants down.
- 3 either all plants leaning moderately (approximately 45°) or 25 to 50% of the plants down.
- 4 either all plants leaning considerably (more than 45°) or 50 to 80% of the plants down.
 - 5 all plants down badly.

<u>Shattering</u> notes were taken on the border rows 14 days after maturity. The estimates were based on a scale of 1 to 5 as follows:

- 1 no shattering.
- 2 1 to 3% shattering.
- 3 4 to 8% shattering.
- 4 9 to 19% shattering.
- 5 over 20% shattering.

<u>Plant height</u> was determined as the average length of plants in a plot from the ground to the top extremity at time of maturity.

Height of first pod was determined as the average height of pods from ground at time of maturity.

<u>Seed size</u> for each variety was determined from a composite sample from all replications at a given planting date and location. Seed size is reported as number of seed per bushel (60 lb.).

Seed quality was based on a rating from 1 to 5 according to the following scale:

(1) very good, (2) good, (3) fair, (4) poor, and (5) very poor. The factors considered are development of seed, wrinkling damage, and brightness. Much of the poor quality reported was due to late harvesting and to excessive rain.

Purple stain ratings were given to seed samples on a scale of 1 to 5 as follows:

1 - no purple staining.

2 - 1 to 3% purple staining.

3 - 4 to 8% purple staining.

4 - 9 to 19% purple staining.

5 - over 20% purple staining.

VARIETY DATA

The entries included in these tests were released varieties prior to 1971 when a number of additional strains were entered. These strains were in their late stages of development in the USDA Regional Testing Program. They were all yielding above standard varieties in their maturity group and all have good nematode resistance.

Soybean varieties grown in Alabama fall in Maturity Groups V, VI, VII, and VIII. The following are a list of the varieties and strains, with source of seed, tested over the past 4 years by maturity groups. For more information of individual varieties see Bulletin $413.\frac{1}{}$

Very Early Varieties - Maturity Group V

Dare Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

York Tennessee Seed Products, Corp. Nashville, Tenn.

D68-128 USDA Delta Branch Experiment Station, Stoneville, Miss.

Early Varieties - Maturity Group VI

Davis Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

Hood Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

Lee 68 Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

McNair 600 McNair Seed Co., Laurinburg, N. C.

Pickett 71 USDA Delta Branch Experiment Station, Stoneville, Miss.

D64-4636 USDA Delta Branch Experiment Station, Stoneville, Miss.

Mid-season Varieties - Maturity Group VII

Bragg Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

Coker 208 Coker Pedigreed Seed Co., Hartsville, S. C.

McNair 800 McNair Seed Co., Laurinburg, N. C.

Ransom Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

Semmes Alabama Foundation Seed Stock Farm, Thorsby, Ala.

Late Varieties - Maturity Group VIII

Coker 318 Coker Pedigreed Seed Co., Hartsville, S. C.

Hampton 266A Coker Pedigreed Seed Co., Hartsville, S. C.

F63-4000 USDA Delta Branch Experiment Station, Stoneville, Miss.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express appreciation to Superintendents J. K. Boseck,

Emmett Carden, F. T. Glaze, S. E. Gissendanner, Robert Moore, and Aubrey Smith for

growing and harvesting of variety tests.

^{1/} Soybean Production--Recent Research Findings, Auburn University Agricultural Experiment Station, Bulletin 413.

Table 1. Yield of Soybean Varieties Tested at the Black Belt Substation $\frac{1}{2}$

					Averag	e plant	ing dat	е				
		May 1	5			June 7				June 2	9	
	1-yr.	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	1-yr.	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	1-yr.	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.
Variety	19712/	70-71	69-71	60-71	19712/	70-71	69-71	68-71	19712/	70-71	69-71	68-71
	Bu,/A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A
Dare	38.1 ab	35.0	32.2	31.7	33.8 bc	30.9	29.6	27.3	22.9 bcde	19.9	20.1	15.3
Hood	35.2 bc	31.7	29.3	29.2	28.0 ef	27.6	26.2	22.4	20.1 cde	18.2	17.7	14.4
McNair 600	37.9 ab	33.5			35.3 ab	29.7		es m «»	21.7 bcde	19.0	eq 44 mm	****
Davis	33.6 bc	31.7	29.5	30.0	35.6 ab	30.6	27.7	27.0	25.2 abc	20.8	22.4	(m) (m) (m) (m)
Lee 68	33.8 bc	33.9	31.6	31.8	30.9 cde	26.9	26.7	25.7	21.0 bcde	17.0	17.0	15.3
Pickett 71	33.3 bc	30.4		970 (M. 300 MI	31.8 bcde	26.4	100 mm (est qua	***	24.5 abcd	18.9		
McNair 800	31.5 c	28.2	28.0	44 44 HO HO	30.8 cde	25.5	25.6		19.9 cde	18.4	19.2	
Bragg	31.9 c	27.4	27.5	28.3	34.4 abc	27.7	28.0	25.6	25.1 abc	20.6	22.1	17.8
Semmes	26.4 d	25.9	25.6	27.0	28.2 def	24.6	24.4	22.8	25.6 ab	20.3	19.8	17.3
Ransom	41.0 a	640 005 000 446	900 tol 640 MG	90 w 90 M	38.1 a	675 579 479 645 -	AND 1000 \$100 \$400	900 and 400 gap	28.2 a	145 eth (85 etc	610 410 010 115	-
Coker 208	24.4 d	22.7	24.3	24.5	25.9 f	21.9	21.1	21.5	19.5 de	17.6	17.3	15.2
F63-4000	34.7 bc	28.4	- 		32.3 bcd	25.2			21.7 bcde	18.7	****	
Coker 318	26.3 d	22.8	24.1	24.4	32.2 bcd	25.4	25.5	23.9	25.7 ab	19.7	19.8	18.2
Hampton 266A	18.1 e	18.3	21.5	22.5	20.1 g	19.6	20.9	21.6	18.2 e	16.5	16.2	14.7

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / Yields adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel. $\frac{1}{2}$ / Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level. Coefficient of variation was 10.2, 8.1, and 14.0%, respectively, for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd planting dates in 1971.

Table 2. Maturity Date, Lodging, Plant Height, and Height of First Pod of Soybean Varieties by Planting Dates When Grown on Black Belt Substation, 19711/

	Company of the last of the las	turity date Planting	2		ing rai lanting			nt hei lantin			ght ls Planti	
Variety	lst	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	lst	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Dare	Sept 28	Sept 30	Oct 13	1.4	2.4	1.8	In. 35	In. 29	In. 24	In. 6.3	In. 4.8	In. 4.1
Hood	Sept 30	Oct 2	Oct 11	2.5	2.2	1.5	31	24	21	4.8	5.0	3.5
McNair 600	Oct 5	Oct 8	Oct 12	1.5	1.8	1.3	37	34	23	4.9	5.8	3.4
Davis	Oct 6	Oct 12	Oct 16	2.5	2.3	1.5	38	35	22	3.6	3.5	3.6
Lee 68	Oct 7	Oct 10	Oct 14	2.6	2.6	2.3	31	27	21	5.3	4.6	3.3
Pickett 71	Oct 11	Oct 14	Oct 18	2.9	3.0	2.9	31	29	23	4.6	4.9	3.8
McNair 800	Oct 12	Oct 12	Oct 18	2.0	1.5	1.0	38	27	17	6.5	5.3	1.8
Bragg	Oct 15	Oct 18	Oct 21	2.5	2.3	1.4	42	34	25	6.8	5.6	3.9
Semmes	Oct 17	Oct 18	Oct 19	2.1	1.9	1.0	37	33	23	6.8	6.8	4.4
Ransom	Oct 18	Oct 21	Oct 22	1.6	1.3	1.1	35	30	22	6.3	5.5	3.6
Coker 208	Nov 7	Nov 8	Nov 8	1.3	1.6	1.0	31	29	19	6.4	6.1	3.5
F63-4000	Oct 19	Oct 20	Oct 23	3.8	2.5	1.3	40	30	23	6.0	6.3	3.9
Coker 318	Oct 21	Oct 26	Oct 28	1.5	1.4	1.0	49	39	26	11.5	11.6	4.9
Hampton 266A	Nov 8	Nov 8	Nov 8	2•4	1.9	1.8	41	37	26	9.5	6.3	3.6

^{1/} Planting dates were May 19, June 8, and June 29 for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd plantings, respectively.

^{2/} Lodging ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to

^{1 =} almost all plants erect.

^{2 =} all plants down badly.

Table 3. Seed Quality and Seed Size of Soybean Varieties by Planting Dates When Grown on Black Belt Substation, 19711/

	ra	qual ting2 antin	/	ra	le st	/	pe	er of seer bushel	
Variety	lst	2nd	3rd	1st	nting 2nd	3rd	Ist	2nd	3rd
				196	2114	720	Thous.	Thous.	Thous.
Dare	2	3	2	2	1	2	227	235	272
Hood	2	3	2	2	2	1	193	199	209
McNair 600	2	2	1	1	1	1	245	219	243
Davis	3	3	2	. 1	2	2	220	195	237
Lee 68	3	4	4	1	1	1	218	229	245
Pickett 71	3	4	3	1	1	1	252	221	231
McNair 800	2	2	4	2	2	1	257	264	262
Bragg	3	3	3	2	2	1	203	213	225
Semmes	4	4	3	1	1	1	213	225	254
Ransom	2	3	3	1	2	1	177	195	205
Coker 208	4	4	5	5	4	4	161	156	158
F63-4000	2	3	4	1	1	1	179	194	205
Coker 318	2	2	4	2	3	3	235	229	211
Hampton 266A	5	4	5	5	4	4	153	155	156

^{1/} Planting dates were May 19, June 8, and June 29 for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd plantings, respectively.

^{2/} Seed quality ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = very good and 5 = very poor.

^{3/} Purple stain ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = no purple staining and 5 = over 20% purple staining.

Table 4. Maturity Date and Yield of Soybean Varieties Tested at the Brewton Field,

			Soy	bean y	ield by	y average	planti	ng dat	es
	Maturity	dates3/	Ma	y 13			Junel	2	
	Plant	ing	1_yr.	-	3-yr.	1-yr.	•	3-yr.	•
Variety	lst	2nd	19712/	The state of the s	67-71	19712/		69-71	
			Bu /A.	Bu./A	.Bu./A	. Bu./A.	Bu./A	.Bu./A	.Bu./A.
Dare	Sept.17	Sept. 27	40.2 cd	41.6	38.8	25.4 abc	25.1	24.6	26.5
Hood	Sept.21	Oct.1	42.0 bc	48.1	22.4	28.0 a	32.1	28.8	30.5
McNair 600	Oct. 5	Oct. 3	40.7 cd	*****		28.9 a	33.6		
Davis	Sept. 28	Oct. 3	42.7 bc	45.2	43.9	27.7 a	32.1	30.7	32.7
Lee 68	Oct.5	Oct.5	36.0 ef	35.8	34.0	25.8 ab	25.4	25.1	25.5
Pickett 71	Oct.8	Oct, 5	37.8 de			25.0 abcd	27.6	KJ 100 000 000	and use spill and
McNair 800	Oct.5	Oct. 3	42.9 bc			25.7 ab	32.1	29.9	site day and and
Bragg	Oct. 8	Oct.8	31.2 gh	35. 0	36.2	20.9 de	28.2	28.1	30.6
Semmes	Oct.8	Oct. 3	32.9 fg	34.0	33.3	25. 9 a	25.5	24.8	26.1
Ransom	Oct. 12	Oct.8	47.5 a		tip say we wa	28.0 a	440 cm; 440 am;		and and gas
Coker 208	Oct, 20	Oct. 11	39.5 cde	37.6		21.4 cde	29.8	31.4	31.9
F63-4000	Oct. 13	Oct.11	46.7 ab			22.7 bcd	28.9	áo um em em	gain that one date
Coker 318	Oct. 22	Oct. 22	27.4 h	26.6		18.1 e	22.9	29.8	30.0
Hampton 266A	Oct. 22	Oct. 22	40.7 cd	38.8	40.0	22.0 bcde	33.3	33.2	34.2

^{1/} Yields adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel.

^{2/} Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level. Coefficient of variation was 7.5 and 10.7, respectively, for 1st and 2nd planting dates in 1971.

^{3/} Planting dates were May 18 and June 22 for 1st and 2nd plantings in 1971, respectively.

Table 5. Lodging, Plant Height, Height of First Pod, Seed Quality, and Seed Size of Varieties Tested at Brewton Field 1971-/

•		2/						ed	Pur	ple ,,	Number	of seed
		rating2/	Plant			1st pod		rating3/	stain r	ating4/	per b	
	lst	2nd	1st	2nd	lst	2nd	1st	2nd	lst	2nd	1st	2nd
Variety	planting	planting	planting	planting								
			Inches	Inches	Inches	Inches		•			Thous.	Thous.
Dare	1.3	1.8	32	23	4.5	4.3	. ***	1	119 as	1	115 AS 400	237
Hood	2.3	1.8	31	24	4.3	3.0	2	2	2	1	170	221
McNair 600	1.0	1.8	34	26	3.8	2.5	P0 pa	1		1	64 Qt 500	281
Davis	1.3	1.8	33	26	4.8	3.0	2	2	1	1	186	250
Lee 68	2.0	1.8	33	22 -	4.8	3.0	and has	1	945 449	1	440 AND 240	245
Pickett 71	2.3	3.5	32	23	3.8	3.5	AN 188	1	eas the	1	**** *** (***	272
McNair 800	1.8	1.3	35	23	5.0	2.8	2	2	1	1	186	250
Bragg	1.3	2.3	40	28	6.8	3.0	***	3	en en	1	m m 49	293
Semmes	1.5	1.5	36	27	5.3	3.3	2	1	1	1	195	231
Ransom	1.3	1.0	31	24	5.3	3.3		2		1	an 40 pa	227
Coker 208	1.0	1.0	31	23	5.5	4.5	Pin year	2	600 era	1	·	272
F-63-4000	2.0	2.3	39	26	5.0	4.3	•	1	-	1		245
Coker 318	1.8	2,5	47	33	11.5	6.3	**************************************	2	. 444	1	15 16 40	313
Hampton 266A	1.8	2.5	41	29	8.8	3.3	1	2	1	1	186	293

^{1/} Planting dates were May 18 and June 22 for 1st and 2nd plantings, respectively.

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Lodging ratings were recorded on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = almost all plants errect and 5 = all plants down badly.

^{3/} Seed quality ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = very good and 5 = very poor.

4/ Purple stain ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = no purple staining and 5 = over 20% purple staining.

Table 6. Yield, Maturity Date, Lodging, Shattering, Plant Height, and Height of 1st Pod of Soybean Varieties Grown on Gulf Coast Substation, Planted June 9, 19711

						Yield	2/	
Variety	Maturity date	Lodging rating2/	Plant height	Height 1st pod	1-yr. 1971	2-yr. 70-71	3-yr. 69-71	4-yr. 70-71
variety	dacc	1401118-	Inches	Inches	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.
Dare	Sept. 25	1	30	4.4	44.3 cd	38.3	36.7	36.4
Hood	Oct. 5	1	32	4.3	44.1 cd	38.7	38.1	37.6
Davis	Oct. 12	. 1	38	7.8	47.7 bc	44.6	40.8	38.9
Lee 68	Oct. 12	1	31	5.8	46.7 bc	46.2	42.6	40.4
McNair 600	Oct. 15	1	34	6.0	48.5 bc	47.1	en en en er	PM 400 400 400
Pickett 71	Oct. 15	1	31	6.0	44.8 cd	44.4		## ## ## ##
McNair 800	Oct. 15	1	35	6.5	50.4 ab	43.5	41.6	400 pm 400
Semmes	Oct. 10	1	32	4.3	44.3 cd	42.0	38.5	36.8
Coker 208	Oct. 18	1	31	6.0	44.7 cd	42.8	39.8	38.4
Bragg	Oct. 20	1.	38	6.5	49.9 ab	48.2	45.1	42.2
Ransom	Oct. 26	1	24	4.0	53.5 a			
Hampton 266A	Oct. 20	1	47	9.3	46.2 bc	42.3	39.1	38.0
F63-4000	Oct. 20	1	33	5.8	50.1 ab	44.6		
Coker 318	Oct. 26	1	47	9.8	40.8 d	35.7	34.6	33.6

^{1/} Yields adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel.

^{2/} Lodging ratings were on a scale of 1 to 5 according to 1 = almost all plants erect and 5 = all plants down badly.

^{3/} Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level. Coefficient of varieties was 6.6%.

Table 7. Maturity Date and Yield of Soybean Varieties Tested at the Prattville Field, 1971^{-1}

			Sc	ybean y	ield by a	verage pla	nting dates	: .
		2/	2/	Ma	y 11		June 1	L3
•	Maturit	y date ³ /	1-yr/	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	$1-yr.\frac{2}{x}$	2-yr.
Variety	1st planting	2nd planting	1971 1	970-71	1969-71	1968-71	1971	1970-71
			Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.
Dare	Oct. 1	Oct. 12	41.3 ef	42.8	40.5	39.9	33.9 f	36.3
York	Oct. 10		33.9 h	33.6	33.6	34.8	000 100 vita vita	E
Hood	Oct. 11	Oct. 15	37.0 gh	38.7	37.6	38.5	35.2 ef	35.8
McNair 600	Oct. 16	Oct. 19	47.8 abcd	43.2		tindo tipos tipos dilpat	41.2 bc	39.0
Davis	Oct. 17	Oct. 18	44.5 cde	44.4	42.4	41.1	39.7 cd	40.1
Lee 68	Oct. 17	Oct. 21	47.3 abcd	42.9	39.7	38.0	39.8 cd	36.7
Pickett 71	Oct. 17	Oct. 23	46.5 bcd	44.7			37.0 def	35.4
McNair 800	Oct. 19	Oct. 25	43.3 def	39.2	35.3	1000 feet 1000 cliny	38.4 cde	34.0
Bragg	Oct. 19	Oct. 25	48.3 abc	43.0	39.5	37.1	41.0 bc	38.5
Semmes	cras disc 'ener side face .	Oct. 25	spines rispoin Gillion Hallen	*****		GAN ANN GAN GAN	41.1 bc	36.0
Ransom	Oct. 23	Oct. 25	50.1 ab				45.3 a	Wife dies Scient dies
Coker 208	Oct. 29	Oct. 30	41.2 ef	35.8	36.2	33.0	39.4 cd	34.2
F63-4000	Oct. 25	Oct. 27	51.3 a	45.7			43.6 ab	39.3
Coker 318	Oct. 30	Nov. 5	39.0 fg	33.8	31.8	29.5	37.7 cde	33.7
lampton 266A	Oct. 29	Oct. 30	44.1 cde	26.3	36.5	34.2	40.4 bcd	35.0

3/Planting dates were May 7 and June 14 for 1st and 2nd plantings, respectively, 1971.

 $[\]frac{1}{Y}$ ield adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel. $\frac{2}{Y}$ ields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level.

Coefficient of variation was 6.5% and 5.5%, respectively, for 1st and 2nd planting dates in 1971.

Table 8. Lodging, Plant Height, Height of First Pod, Seed Quality, and Seed Size of Varieties Tested at Prattville Field. $1971^{1/2}$

		2/ g rating 2nd			•		Se	ed 3/	Pur	ple //	Number	of seed
	Lodging	g rating	Plant			1st pod	quality	rating3/	stain r	rple ating_/	per b	
77 1 - 4				2nd	1st	2nd	ISC	Zna			1st	2nd
Variety	planting	planting	Inches	Inches	Inches	planting Inches	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting Thous.	planting Thous.
Dare	1	1.6	29	26	3.0	2.3	2	1	2	1	208	216
York	1	en en en	27	900 esq	2.0	*** ***	5	. •	4	***	135	# ## ##
Hood	1.3	2.9	29	27	3.0	2.0	3	1	2	2	190	200
McNair 600	1	2.5	33	29	2.8	2.0	2	2	1	1	206	233
Davis	1	2.5	36	31	3.5	2.0	2	1	2	1	161	205
Lee 68	1	4.1	33	29	3.5	1.8	2	1	1	1	199	218
Pickett 71	1	4.5	30	25	3.0	1.5	2	1	1	1	229	206
McNair 800	2	2.3	36	27	3.8	2.8	2	2	1	1	223	231
Bragg	1	3.0	40	32	5.5	2.5	1	1	1	1	180	192
Semmes	-	2.0	-	32	(m) 100 mj	3.0	•	2	***	1	~ ~ ~	188
Ransom	1	1.1	32	29	4.3	3.3	1	1	1	1	165	203
Coker 208	1	2.4	28	25	3.3	2.3	2	1	2	2	172	197
F63-4000	1	1.6	40	32	4.8	3.5	1	1	1.	1	156	184
Coker 318	1.3	2.5	48	36	7.5	3.5	1	2	1	2	199	205
Hampton 266	1	3.1	42	35	4.0	2.8	2	1	2	2	184	186

Planting dates were May 7 and June 14 for 1st and 2nd plantings, respectively.

Lodging ratings were recorded on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=almost all plants erect and 5 = all plants

^{3/}down badly.

4/Seed quality ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=very good and 5=very poor.

4/Purple stain ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=no purple staining and 5=over 20% purple staining.

Table 9. Yield of Soybean Varieties Tested at the Sand Mountain Substation $\frac{1}{2}$

				Soybean	yield by			date				
			y 2				y 27				ne 18	
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1-yr. 1971 <u>-</u> 2/	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	1-yr. 1971 <u>-</u> 2/	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	1-yr 1971 <u>-</u> /	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.
Variety	19/1=/ Bu./A.	19/0-/1 Bu./A.	196 9- 71 Bu./A.	1968-/1 Bu./A.	19/1 <u>-</u> / Bu./A.	1970-71 Bu./A	1969-71 Bu./A.	1968-/1 Bu./A.		1970-71 Bu./A.	1969-71	
	Du./A.	Du./A.	Du./A.	Du./A.	Du./A.	Du./A	Du./A.	Du./A.	Bu./A.	bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.
are	48.9 cdef	50.6	46.7	44.6	37.4 c	37.8	35.3	32.9	40.0 ab	38.0	31.4	33.8
68-128	55.8 ab	their state state office	and the one was	chair SSAA Anna affili			***************************************	414 WIS AND GUS	***************************************		test skip eng cap	000 400 Win spin
ork	45.0 f	47.1	43.5	42.4	42.0 b	42.2	39.4	38.3	41.0 a	39.6	33.3	35.1
ood	44.4 f	46.8	44.4	43.7	41.8 b	39.8	36.5	37.4	37.6 abo	34.9	29.7	32.2
064-4636	48.2 def	etros sego coro etros		and ear men and	timber 2010s appear norus	toga kata dina sala	COM Now stop Gap	and and and and	Matter cause along lemma	ener city eller april	000 Apr 140 Apr	elities capys prints enam
AcNair 600	51.8 bcd	50.4	Many days when many	antire whole region others	43.8 ab	42.6	cover design degree desche		36.4 bcd	37.9		1477 MW 1430 M74
Lee 68	52.5 bcd	49.8	44.4	43.7	41.8 ъ	38.8	35.7	37.0	32.5 d	33.1	28.0	30.9
Pickett 71	54.0 abc	50.8	and alter and, and		41.4 bc	39.6	diversitation states stages	And that sale sale	32.2 d	34.0	min has out	***************************************
Davis	51.1 bcde	49.6	45.5	44.4	44.2 ab	41.0	38.3	38.7	34.4 cd	34.5	29.5	32.3
McNair 800	46.4 ef	45.0	42.1	main rolly grad dissa	43.9 ab	40.3	37.2		40.2 ab	37.7	31.8	कृत्य सम्बद्ध संदर्भ प्रतास
Bragg	52.6 bcd	49.5	44.0	43.8	45.7 ab	43.3	40.1	41.0	32.0 d	33.6	27.5	30.8
Ransom	58.4 a	alpus divido notre appoi		and delp limb live	46.8 a		****	100 Etc 620 HB	39.1 ab	tion sich fine sich	*** *** ***	una desa dalah dalah
Coker 208	48.8 cdef	43.9	41.8	42.6	43.6 ab	39.2	38.1	39.5	32.6 d	33.6	31.3	32.9
763-4000	51.6 bcd	51.4	-	4000 Time drest state	42.7 ab	41.0	party starts when	date they stay who	40.4 ab	37.5	E-10 MOS MAIN METS	direct design master states
lampton 266A	***	deliter funds, finden digida.	MPS - SERVICE SALVON SERVICE	and this term date.	42.9 ab	38.8	37.7	38.0	37.3 abc	34.5	28.8	31.4
Coker 318		Mar 400 400 100			43.4 ab	37.3	36.1	35.5	37.5 abc	33.1	27.0	28.3

 $[\]frac{1}{Y}$ ields adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel. $\frac{2}{Y}$ ields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level. Coefficient of variation was 6.5, 6.7, and 7.5%, respectively, for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd planting dates in 1971.

Table 10. Maturity Date, Lodging, Plant Height, and Height of First Pod of Soybean Varieties by Planting Dates When Grown on Sand Mountain Substation, 19711/

		turity da		Lodg	ing rating			ant heigh		Hei	ght 1st p	od
Ward a tra	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	lst	2nd	3rd
Variety	pranting	prancing	pranting	pranting	planting	pranting	Inches	Inches	Inches	planting Inches	Inches	Inches
							THUMED	THORICS	Tricites	Tucues	Luches	Inches
Dare	Sept. 21	Sept. 28	Oct. 13	2.0	2.5	2.8	29	35	31	4.5	5.0	4.5
D68-128	Sept. 27	900 GG 100*		2.0	005 pag 415		28	est en eg	*** ***	3.8	919 400 600	tes ess ess
York	Sept. 28	Sept. 28	Oct. 13	1.3	2.3	1.3	24	34	31	2.3	4.0	4.0
Hood	Sept. 28	Oct. 7	Oct. 18	3.3	3.0	2.0	32	33	29	5.0	5.5	4.5
D64-4636	Oct. 1			1.0		toll dea one	25	99 40 50	64 to m	4.0	* * * *	974 con
McNair 600	Oct. 7	Oct. 9	Oct. 16	2.8	3.3	2.3	33	34	34	3.5	5.5	4.5
Lee 68	Oct. 8	Oct. 8	Oct. 13	2.8	3.8	3.8	28	36	30	5.5	6.0	5.5
Pickett 71	Oct. 14	Oct. 12	Oct. 18	3.0	3.8	3.5	30	34	30	5.3	5.3	5.5
Davis	Oct. 15	Oct. 18	Oct. 21	2.5	3.3	2.5	38	33	35	7.3	7.3	4.8
McNair 800	Oct. 11	Oct. 18	Oct. 18	3.5	3.5	1.8	34	36	29	6.5	7.8	4.5
Bragg	Oct. 17	Oct. 17	Oct. 20	3.8	3.4	2.3	44	35	32	7.3	8.0	6.5
Ransom	Oct. 17	Oct. 19	Oct. 18	2.3	3.3	1.0	32	40	31	6.3	9.0	6.5
Coker 208	Oct. 20	Oct. 21	Oct. 25	1.5	2.8	2.3	26	36	29	6.0	8.3	7.3
F63-4000	Oct. 18	Oct. 21	Oct. 22	3.8	5.0	2.5	38	40	30	7.0	11.3	7.5
Hampton 266A	ent per ope	Oct. 22	Oct. 26		4.0	3.3	***	36	36	\$14 day \$10)	5.8	6.3
Coker 318	## en en	Oct. 25	Oct. 28		2.8	2.0	em est est	47	38		12.8	9.8

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Planting dates were May 4, June 7, and July 2 for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd plantings, respectively. $\frac{1}{2}$ Lodging ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to 1 = almost all plants erect and 5 = all plants down badly.

Table 11. Seed Quality and Seed Size of Soybean Varieties by Planting Dates When Grown on Sand Mountain Substation, Table 11. Seed Quality and Seed Size of Soybean Varieties by Planting Dates When Grown on Sand Mountain Substation,

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> 1971±/</u>					
	Seed q	uality rat	ing2/		stain rat	ing3/		of seed pe		
	lst	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	
Variety	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting	
							Thous.	Thous.	Thous.	
Dare	2	3	1	1	2	1	185	172	167	. •
D68-128	2			1	-	<u> </u>	165		tive calls than	
York	2	2	2	1	2	1	131	136	143	
Hood	1	1	1	1	2	. 1	157	156	155	
D64-4636	2	-	- .	1	-	-	161	man man and	-	
McNair 600	1	1	1	1	1	1	179	186	189	
Lee 68	1	1	1	1	2	1	170	192	184	
Pickett 71	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	183	209	186	
Davis	1 .	1	1	1	1	2	134	149	162	
McNair 800	1	1	1	1	2	2	194	181	203	
Bragg	1	1	1	1	1	2	150	158	162	
Ransom	1	1	1	1	2	1	127	146	149	
Coker 208	1	1	1	1	2	3	150	155	163	
F63-4000	2	2	2	1	1	. 1	141	142	145	
Hampton 266A	_	2	1	_	3	· 4		154	153 ,	
Coker 318	_	1	2		4	2		161	145	

 $[\]frac{1}{7}$ Planting dates were May 4, June 7, and July 2 for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd plantings, respectively. $\frac{2}{3}$ Seed quality ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=very good and 5=very poor.

^{3/}Purple stain ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=no purple staining and 5=over 20% purple staining.

Table 12. Maturity Date and Yield of Soybean Varieties Tested at Upper Coastal Plain Substation, $1971\frac{1}{2}$

		Soybean yield by average planting dates									
	Maturity dates 3/			/ May			June 24				
	1st	2nd	1-yr.	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	$\frac{2}{1-yr}$.	2-yr.	3-yr.	4-yr.	
Variety	planting	planting	1971	1970-71	1969-71	1968-71	1971	1970-71	1969-71	1968-71	
			Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	Bu./A.	
Dare	Oct. 1	Oct. 18	24.6	34.3	35.0	32.8	38.8 bcd	35.6	34.9	34.5	
York	Oct. 8	Oct. 18	17.3	20.7	24.8	28.2	34.1 d	30.9	32.9	33.9	
Hood	Oct. 15	Oct. 18	24.5	23.3	26.7	32.9	41.5 abc	38.0	37.8	39.1	
McNair 600	Oct. 18	Oct. 27	30.3	25.9	\$100 Mile Mile 670	468 mile 400 400	39.4 bcd	34.4	spay time step stem		
Davis	Oct. 18	Oct. 25	26.0	25.9	30.0	34.2	44.7 ab	38.8	40.9	42.1	
Lee 68	Oct. 15	Oct. 18	26.6	23.8	26.4	32.0	38.9 bcd	33.9	36.6	36.8	
Pickett 71	Oct. 18	Oct. 25	24.3	25.8	dies rate der dies	Marie Marie Milja Willia	38.5 bcd	34.9	water taken dawn artis	THAN TOOKS THE TIME	
McNair 800	Oct. 18	Oct. 29	23.6	24.3	28.8	-	36.5 cd	33.6	35.9	10-07 000 1070 000	
Bragg	Oct. 18	Oct. 27	26.8	27.5	28.9	33.8	39.2 bcd	33.4	36.1	39.0	
Ransom	Oct. 18	Oct. 31	28.9	WHAT WHAT TELLS STOP	NECO CANO STOR STATE		42.4 abc		elvis gale tellis dalla	aces titles taun teten	
Coker 208	Oct. 25	Nov. 2	23.5	24.8	25.8	31.6	42.9 ab	35.3	39.4	40.6	
F63-4000	Oct. 18	Oct. 31	28.9	27.7	*** *** ***	-	44.3 ab	34.8	****	Militar Stram Garler Hauts	
Coker 318	Oct. 25	Nov. 1	18.2	17.6	16.7	23.0	38.4 bcd	37.4	34.4	35.2	
Hampton 266A 1/Yields addus	Oct. 25	Nov. 4	25.9	24.3	26.7	32.4	45.7 a	37.9	40.5	42.2	

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ /Yields adjusted to 13% moisture and 60 pounds per bushel.

^{2/}Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at .05 probability level.

Coefficient of variation was 27 and 9.3%, respectively, for 1st and 2nd planting dates in 1971.

Planting dates were May 20 and July 1 for 1st and 2nd plantings, respectively, in 1971.

able 13. Lodging, Plant Height, Height of First Pod, Seed Quality, and Seed Size of Varieties Tested at Upper Coastal Plain Substation, 1971

	21				 		Seed 3/		Purple 4/		Number of seed	
•		rating2/			Height 1		quality	rating ³ /	stain r	ating4/	per b	
	lst	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	IST	Znd	TOL	2110	1st	2nd
<u>'ariety</u>	planting	planting	planting Inches	planting Inches	<u>Planting</u> Inches	Inches	planting	planting	planting	planting	planting Thous.	
			inches	inches	inches	Inches		•			mous.	Thous.
Pare	1.0	1.5	26	32	3.0	3.3	1	1	2	1	239	185
ork	1.0	1.0	25	26	.3.0	2.3	2	1	3	2	168	153
lood	1.0	1.0	27	29	4.3	3.3	2	2	2	2	173	176
IcNair 600	1.0	1.0	34	30	3.8	3.5	2	1	1	2	216	195
avis	1.0	1.0	33	33	4.5	3.5	2	1	1	2	183	173
Lee 68	1.0	1.5	29	29	4.5	4.0	1 .	1	1	2	225	199
Pickett 71	1.0	1.0	28	27	4.0	2.8	1	1	1	· 1	219	205
AcNair 800	1.0	1.0	31	28	5.3	3.3	2	. 1	2	1	203	211
3ragg	1.0	1.0	42	28	7.8	4.0	2	1	2	2	171	174
Ransom	1.0	1.0	33	32	5.8	4.5	1	2	1	2	178	162
Coker 208	1.0	1.3	26	34	6.3	6.0	1	2	2	. 2	158	145
₹6 3–4 000	1.0	1.0	37	33	7.5	3.5	1	2	3	1	157	145
Coker 318	1.0	1.0	41	42	10.0	7.8	2		2	2	211	169
ampton 266A		1.5	37	39	6.0	5.0	2	3	22	3	136	162

Planting dates were May 20 and July 1 for 1st and 2nd planting, respectively.

Lodging ratings were recorded on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1-almost all plants erect and 5-all plants down

Seed quality ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1-very good and 5-very poor.

badly.

Purple stain ratings were on a scale from 1 to 5 according to: 1=no purple staining and 5=over 20% purple staining.