

CIRCULAR 273 SEPTEMBER 1984







Ancestry and Breeding of Catfish in the United States



ALABAMA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION AUBURN UNIVERSITY AUBURN UNIVERSITY, ALABAMA GALE A. BUCHANAN, DIRECTOR

CONTENTS

I and I and I and I and I and I and I	Page
INTRODUCTION	ິ3
DEFINITIONS	4
DESCRIPTIONS OF MAJOR CATFISH SPECIES	5
Channel Catfish	5
Blue Catfish	5
White Catfish	7
Flathead Catfish	8
Bullheads	9
HISTORY OF PROPAGATED CATFISH	11
DESCRIPTIONS OF CATFISH STOCKS	15
Farm Stocks	15
Hatchery and Introduced Stocks	46
Research Stocks	57
CATFISH BREEDING PROGRAMS	68
Strain Evaluation	68
Crossbreeding	68
Hybridization and Polyploidization	69
Mass Selection and Inbreeding	70
Cellular Genetics	
GENETIC DATA AND PERFORMANCE RECORDS	
FOR RESEARCH STRAINS OF CATFISH	71
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	88
REFERENCES	89

FIRST PRINTING 4M, SEPTEMBER 1984

Information contained herein is available to all persons without regard to race, color, sex, or national origin.

ANCESTRY AND BREEDING OF CATFISH IN THE UNITED STATES

REX A. DUNHAM and R. ONEAL SMITHERMAN¹

INTRODUCTION

CATFISH have been important commercial and sport fish for several years. The first known spawning of channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, in captivity was reported in 1892 (40). Bullheads were cultured on a large scale in the late 1800's or early 1900's (41). The Kansas State Fish Hatchery at Pratt began propagating channel catfish as early as 1910.

Recently, catfish has become the major aquaculture species in the United States. Seven catfish species are propagated by government or private hatcheries. They are black bullhead, *I. melas*, blue catfish, *I. furcatus*, brown bullhead, *I. nebulosus*, channel catfish, flathead catfish, *Pylodictus olivaris*, white catfish, *I. catus*, and yellow bullhead, *I. natalis*. The channel catfish is the primary species propagated because it has superior culture traits.

The main objective of our survey was to document the origin, history, and breeding of various strains and stocks of catfish cultured at federal, state, university, and private hatcheries. Hopefully, this will enable determination of genetic diversity in current hatchery stocks. Information presented should indicate the relationships among various hatchery stocks. Another objective of this effort will be to document differences in performance of various stocks of catfish.

There are 315 entries in the description of stocks. This represents entries from 192 farms, 58 state and federal hatcheries, and 10 research institutions. Response by government and research agencies was nearly 100 percent. The 192 private hatcheries represent approximately 19 percent of all catfish farms; these hatcheries comprise 60 percent of the farms producing catfish fingerlings.

¹ Respectively, Assistant Professor and Professor of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures.

DEFINITIONS

- **Crossbred catfish**—Catfish produced by mating individuals from two different strains or lines of the same species (intraspecific). Crosses in this text are all listed female x male.
- **Domestic strain**—Catfish grown at farms or hatcheries that are at least two breeding generations (F_2) removed from a wild strain of catfish.
- **Environment**—The collective circumstances and conditions in which an individual or population lives.
- F_1 generation—The first filial generation, or the first-generation progeny following the parental, or P_1 generation.
- F_2 generation—The second filial generation, or the secondgeneration progeny following the parental, or P_1 generation.
- **Family selection**—A selection program in which individuals are chosen for brood stock based on the performance of their family (full-sibs).
- Full-sibs—Brothers and sisters.
- Half-sibs—Half brothers and sisters (having one but not two common parents).
- Heterosis (hybrid vigor)—Performance of hybrids or crossbreeds that exceeds that of both parent types.
- Hybrid catfish—Catfish produced by mating individuals from two different species (interspecific).
- **Inbreeding**—The production of offspring by parents more closely related than the average of the population, e.g. brother-sister, father-daughter, uncle-niece matings.
- **Karyotype**—The sum of the specific characteristics of a cell nucleus including chromosome number, form, size, and points of spindle attachment.
- Line—A breeding population produced by one or more of the following directed breeding programs: mass selection, family selection, or inbreeding.
- **Mass or individual selection**—Selection of brood stock for the next generation which is based solely on the individual's performance.
- **Stock**—A fish population living and acting as a breeding unit at a single location (hatchery, stream, lake).
- Strain—A breeding population having a similar history and possessing unique characteristics.
- Wild strain—A self-perpetuating strain in a natural environment (lake, reservoir, pond, or stream).

DESCRIPTIONS OF MAJOR CATFISH SPECIES

Channel Catfish

Channel catfish (42,47) are native to the Mississippi-Missouri river system southward into northeastern Mexico, but their range has been expanded through introductions to almost all parts of North America where there are suitable waters. Channel catfish were introduced into California and into the Potomac River in the late 1800's.

Channel catfish are the most commonly cultured catfish. This species grows faster to a harvest size of 1-2 pounds, and has more disease resistance than other species. Channel catfish become sexually dimorphic in size by 6 months of age (7).



FIG. 1. Native distribution of channel catfish.

Blue Catfish

Blue catfish (42,47) are native to the main channels of the Mississippi River and its major tributaries from Minnesota and South Dakota southward into Mexico. Blue catfish have been introduced to California and to the Santee-Cooper River system, South Carolina.



FIG. 2. Present distribution of channel catfish.



FIG. 3. Native distribution of blue catfish. [6]

Blue catfish are the second most commonly cultured catfish. Positive attributes include relatively uniform growth and body conformation (23), high dressing percentage, and high vulnerability to seining (59). Detrimental culture traits of blue catfish include poor tolerance of low oxygen, poor disease resistance, and extremely sharp spines. They do not become sexually dimorphic in size before 3 years of age.

White Catfish

White catfish (42,47) are native to lower reaches of coastal streams from Delaware and New Jersey south into Florida, including a few streams entering the Gulf of Mexico. They were introduced to California in 1874.

White catfish grow rapidly as fingerlings, but begin maturing sexually at 1 year of age which slows their growth. They become sexually dimorphic in size by 6 months of age. White catfish tolerate low oxygen but have poor resistance to bacterial



FIG. 4. Native distribution of white catfish.

diseases. They also have large heads, resulting in poor dressing percentage (59). White catfish are more active, more difficult to catch with seines, less difficult to catch by angling, and grow faster than blue or channel catfish at 50-60°F.

Flathead Catfish

Flathead catfish (42,47) are native to large rivers of the Mississippi, Missouri, and Ohio basins, and south into Mexico. Recently, they were reported west of Point Pelee, Ontario.

Flathead catfish are cultured at several state and federal hatcheries for release as sport fish. They are difficult to culture because of their piscivorous and cannibalistic nature, and are difficult to seine.



FIG. 5. Native distribution of flathead catfish.
[8]

Bullheads

There are three major species of bullheads—black, brown, and yellow—found in the United States.

Black bullheads (42,47) are native to much of eastern North America and to most of the Mississippi drainage system (35). They have been successfully introduced into most of the continental United States.

Brown bullheads are native to the United States east of the Missouri River, as well as to southeastern Canada, the Dakotas, and Oklahoma.

Yellow bullheads are native to the United States east of the Rocky Mountains and south from the Great Lakes.

Bullheads grow slowly. They also have large heads and poor resistance to bacterial pathogens. Bullheads tolerate low oxygen levels and polluted environments. They mature at a relatively young age and have high reproductive rates.



FIG. 6. Native distribution of black bullheads.



FIG. 7. Present distribution of black bullheads.



FIG. 8. Native distribution of brown bullheads.
[10]



FIG. 9. Native distribution of yellow bullheads.

HISTORY OF PROPAGATED CATFISH

One of the major goals of this survey was to determine the ancestry of catfish cultured in the United States. Although it was found that channel catfish having ancestry from many river systems are currently propagated, the majority of them originated near the Denison Dam, Lake Texoma, Oklahoma. These fish were captured in 1949 by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission in pools formed in the Red River behind Denison Dam after its construction. The fish were spawned in the Arkansas state hatchery system and were the basis of brood stock for some of the earliest catfish farms such as Leon Hill, Edgar Farmer, Anderson-Nelson, and War Eagle Minnow. These fish were also some of the founder stocks in federal hatcheries and research institutions in Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. They were widely distributed in Arkansas and Mississippi via the Hill and Farmer operations. Probably one-half the Auburn University founder

stock and all of the Marion National Fish Hatchery and Stephens, Inc., founder stock came from Anderson-Nelson or War Eagle Minnow Farm. In turn, Auburn University, Marion National Fish Hatchery, or Stephens, Inc., provided stock for the majority of channel catfish farms in Alabama. Thus, the ancestry of stocks for the majority of catfish cultured in Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, locations of 95 percent of the United States acreage devoted to catfish farming, can be traced to a single source of fish: Red River, Denison Dam, Oklahoma.

A number of other stocks have had major impact on the gene pools in Arkansas and Mississippi. Two major fingerling farms in Mississippi, Thompson-Anderson and Transfisheries, have widely distributed fish traced primarily to the Yazoo River and to a lesser degree Red River and Kansas. Several farmers have also obtained stock from the Rio Grande River, Texas, or from the Mississippi River, Mississippi. The first catfish farm in Mississippi (V. C. Hammett) used fish captured from the Mississippi River. This influx of "new blood" and



FIG. 10. Origin of channel catfish cultured in the United States. [12]

the large brood populations used by commercial operations has probably minimized inbreeding in commercial operations.

Another widely distributed stock originated from the state and federal fish hatcheries in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. These fish came from many rivers within each state and were exchanged among hatcheries. This stock is common in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas and is closely related to Alabama stocks via distribution by Auburn University.

The most widely distributed strain in commercial farms in California is from the Mississippi River, via Osage Fisheries, Missouri. Some contribution from Kansas exists also.

The majority of blue catfish cultured in the United States originated from the Alabama River, Alabama, Arkansas River, Arkansas, Mississippi River, Mississippi, and Red River, Oklahoma. Some stocks are also derived from rivers in Texas and Oklahoma. Most cultured bullheads originated in the Mississippi River. Hatcheries propagating flathead catfish utilize fish from local streams. Most stocks of white catfish were obtained in North Carolina or South Carolina; however, all white catfish in California originated from the Raritan River, New Jersey.

A map illustrating the streams and lakes from which cultured catfish originated follows.



FIG. 11. Streams and lakes from which cultured stocks of catfish originated.

DESCRIPTIONS OF CATFISH STOCKS

Farm Stocks

Channel Catfish

Stock: Abaloso

Farm or Hatchery: Centro Acuicola 'Vincente Guerrero' in Abaloso, Tamaulipas, Mexico

Origin: Falcon Reservoir, Rio Grande River (Texas-Mexico) in 1976, Fish Breeders Cal in 1978, Yazoo in 1979, and Hill in 1981

Brood Population: 800 pairs

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Acadiana

Farm or Hatchery: Acadiana Fish Farm, Ltd., Branch, Louisiana

Origin: Bayou in the Atchafalaya River basin in 1970. F_2 were mixed with Nathan Cormie stock, Lake Charles, Louisiana, in 1977. Progeny from 1977 year class were selected as fingerlings and again as food fish. This stock was mixed with Edwards and reciprocal crossbreeds were made with Henderson.

Brood Population: 100; increased to 400 in 1981 Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Adams

Farm or Hatchery: Adams Farm, Andalusia, Alabama Origin: Easterling in 1967 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Aqua Enterprise

Farm or Hatchery: Aquaculture Enterprises, Seguin, Texas Origin: This stock was procured from an Arkansas live hauler, Wade Finley, Lonoke, Arkansas, and is probably a commercial Arkansas stock.

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Aquafarms

Farm or Hatchery: Aquafarms, Leland, Mississippi Origin: Commercial Mississippi stocks Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[15]

Stock: Arant Farm or Hatchery: Arant Farms, Sunflower, Mississippi Origin: Dumas, Finch, and commercial Mississippi stocks Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Arizona Farm or Hatchery: Arizona Fish Growers, Camp Verde, Arizona Origin: Fish Breeders Cal in 1978 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Arkansas Farm or Hatchery: Flickner Farm, Moundridge, Kansas (no longer propagated) Origin: Arkansas River in 1978 Brood Population: Not applicable (NA) Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Atlantis Farm or Hatchery: Atlantis Aquatics, Inc., Zephyrillis, Florida Origin: Lake Panasoffke in 1981 Brood Population: 1,500 Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Bain

Farm or Hatchery: Bain Fish Hatchery, Remlap, Alabama Origin: Mississippi stocks, Pine Hill, Rainbow, Williams, Tombigbee River, Alabama, and Coal Fire Creek, Alabama Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Battle Farm or Hatchery: Paul Battle Farm, Mississippi Origin: Hill, Yazoo, and King-Anderson Farm, Clarksdale, Mississippi, in 1969 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Bay

Farm or Hatchery: Bay Farm, Lake Waccamaw, North Carolina

Origin: Mississippi commercial stock, Georgia commercial stock, and Cape Fear River, North Carolina

[16]

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight Stock: Black Farm or Hatchery: Nail Catfish Farm, Kilmichael, Mississippi Origin: Big Black River, Mississippi, in 1970 Brood Population: 500; brood are replaced every 5 years Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for small heads and thick bodies Stock: Black Bottom (Dumas) Farm or Hatchery: Black Bottom Farms, Swifton, Mississippi **Origin:** Dumas **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Black Bottom (Finch) Farm or Hatchery: Black Bottom Farms, Swifton, Mississippi **Origin:** Finch **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Black Warrior Farm or Hatchery: Jay's Angus Ranch, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Black Warrior River, Alabama **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Boyd Farm or Hatchery: Boyd Farm, Livingston, Alabama Origin: Spree and commercial Alabama stocks **Brood Population: 20 pairs** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Bradshaw Farm or Hatchery: Bradshaw Farms, Arkansas Origin: L & W, commerical Mississippi stock, and some albino catfish from Kentucky Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Bradshaw E Farm or Hatchery: Bradshaw Farms, Arkansas Origin: Dumas and Stuttgart in the 1960's. Native fish from local Arkansas rivers were added to the stock. **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating [17]

1

Stock: Bulger Farm or Hatchery: Escambia Farms, Florida Origin: Martin and a few individuals from the Yellow River, Alabama Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Burns Farm or Hatchery: Burns Farm, Jonesboro, Arkansas Origin: Nelson-Anderson

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Butterfield

Farm or Hatchery: Dan Butterfield Farm, Tuscaloosa, Alabama Origin: Rainbow, Doughty, and Frog Ridge Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Byars Farm or Hatchery: Byars Fish Farm, Pine Apple, Alabama Origin: Pearce in 1978 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Calaqua Farm or Hatchery: Calaqua Farms, California Origin: Osage, Fishery, and California Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Canaday Farm or Hatchery: Canaday Farm, Corning, Arkansas Origin: Kieffer Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Catfish Farm or Hatchery: Catfish Hatchery, Altha, Florida Origin: Dover Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Catfish Acres Farm or Hatchery: Catfish Acres, Shawnee, Oklahoma Origin: Commercial Arkansas stock (including Dumas) bought from live haulers in Arkansas, and Oklahoma rivers **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Chappell (Hill) Farm or Hatchery: Chappell Farm, Hopkins, South Carolina Origin: Hill in 1981 **Brood Population: 100** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Chappell (Kansas) Farm or Hatchery: Chappell Farm, Hopkins, South Carolina Origin: Auburn University in 1978 **Brood Population: 100** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Chappell (Marion) Farm or Hatchery: Chappell Farm, Hopkins, South Carolina Origin: Marion in 1977 **Brood Population: 100** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Chico Farm or Hatchery: Chico Farms, California Origin: Osage **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Clayton Farm or Hatchery: Clayton Farm, Tupelo, Mississippi Origin: Wayne Hare pond (18 fish) in Planterville, Mississippi. Miscellaneous stocks have been added **Brood Population: 500** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock or Strain: Clements Farm or Hatchery: Clements Farm, Sawyerville, Alabama **Origin:** Montz **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Cloverleaf Farm or Hatchery: Cloverleaf Farm, Arkansas Origin: Husky in 1978 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating; some albinism

Stock: Coleman

Farm or Hatchery: Coleman Farm, Yazoo City, Mississippi Origin: Dumas or Hill in the early 1970's. McDonald, Farm Fish, commercial Arkansas, and Arkansas River, Arkansas, stocks were added. Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Con Agra (Auburn)

Farm or Hatchery: Con Agra Farms, Isola, Mississippi Origin: Auburn in 1980 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Covington Farm or Hatchery: Covington Fish Hatchery, Daleville, Mississippi Origin: Arkansas in 1966 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Cowarts Farm or Hatchery: Cowarts Fish Hatchery, Valdosta, Georgia (no longer propagated) Origin: Dumas and Cletus Noland, Douglas, Georgia Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Crescent

Farm or Hatchery: Crescent Valley Fish Farm, Walker County, Alabama

Origin: Walker County Lake (Marion) and commercial Alabama stocks

Brood Population: 350-500

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Crowson Farm or Hatchery: Crowson Farm, Baker, Florida Origin: Bulger Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: D & BFarm or Hatchery: D & B Fish Farms, Crockett, Texas Origin: Sooner (probably Dumas strain) in 1964. Fish were added from commercial Arkansas and Texas stocks. Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body and dress-out weight, 1-2 percent selected under forage conditions; some albinism occurs

Stock: Darty

Farm or Hatchery: Darty Fish Farm, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Miller and Easterling in 1978-1980 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Day

Farm or Hatchery: Day Farm, Stuttgart, Arkansas Origin: Missouri, possibly Osage strain in 1964. A stock from a southern Louisiana farm was added in 1972. L & W was also added in the early 1970's. Brood Population: 200

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Delta

Farm or Hatchery: Con Agra Fish Hatchery, Tippo, Mississippi Origin: Reed, Hill, and Con Agra farms at Tippo and Greenville, Mississippi, in 1974-1978

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Denton

Farm or Hatchery: Denton Fish Farm, Harrisburg, Arkansas Origin: Findley, Tennyson, Kieffer, and Digman Brood Population: 300 pairs

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for small heads

Stock: Dewease

Farm or Hatchery: Dewease Catfish Farm, Union, Mississippi Origin: Sides in 1978

Brood Population: Undetermined, brood are replaced every 3-4 years

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Diamond

Farm or Hatchery: Diamond Fisheries, Brooksville, Mississippi Origin: Fishery in 1981

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Digman

Farm or Hatchery: Digman Lakes, Walnut Ridge, Arkansas

Origin: Norris in 1962 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Doughty*

Farm or Hatchery: Doughty Farm, Reform, Alabama Origin: Major contribution from Henderson and some fish from Auburn, Kansas, and Tombigbee River, Alabama Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Dover

Farm or Hatchery: Dover Catfish Hatchery, Havana, Florida Origin: Lindsey in 1967. Fish have subsequently been exchanged with several Mississippi hatcheries.

Brood Population: 2,000 pounds

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Dumas

Farm or Hatchery: Edgar-Kelley Farmer Hatchery, Dumas, Arkansas

Origin: Arkansas River, Dumas, Arkansas, in the mid-1950's. Nelson-Anderson was added.

Brood Population: Several hundred

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Dycus

Farm or Hatchery: Dycus Farm, Greenville, Mississippi Origin: Mississippi River

Brood Population: Undetermined; brood replacements come from both the Dycus farm-raised fish and from the Mississippi River

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Easterling*

Farm or Hatchery: Easterling Farm, Clio, Alabama Origin: Auburn in 1964; 50 pairs of brood fish were obtained Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Edwards

Farm or Hatchery: Edwards Farm, Winnie, Texas Origin: Dumas Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[22]

Stock: Farm Fish Farm or Hatchery: Farm Fish, Louise, Mississippi Origin: McDonald, Coleman, commercial Arkansas stocks, and Arkansas River, Arkansas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Farm-Fresh-G

Farm or Hatchery: Farm-Fresh, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Commercial Alabama stock Brood Population: Several hundred Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Farm-Fresh-M

Farm or Hatchery: Farm-Fresh, Montrose, Arkansas Origin: Hill, Dumas, and Finch in 1978-1980 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Farquhar

Farm or Hatchery: Farquhar Farm, Huntsville, Alabama Origin: Farm-Fresh-G Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Finch*

Farm or Hatchery: Finch Farm, Fortland, Arkansas Origin: Boeuf River, Arkansas, and Rio Grande River, Texas, in 1967-68 Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Findley*

Farm or Hatchery: Findley Farms, Gunnison, Mississippi Origin: Boeuf River, Arkansas (Finch), Peaster, and Fratizi Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Fish Breeders Cal

Farm or Hatchery: Fish Breeders of California, Niland, California

Origin: Farm near San Francisco in 1969. These fish were replaced with fish from Slim Holden's Farm (Wehau), Bakersfield, California. Fish from the California Department of Fish and Game, Elk Grove, California, were added in 1981. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Mass selection on the basis of thick bodies and their reaction to CCV antibody test

Stock: Fish Breeders Ida

Farm or Hatchery: Fish Breeders of Idaho, Buhl, Idaho Origin: California stock derived from Osage and Hartley in 1982. These fish have been supplemented with more Osage, Hartley, and commercial Mississippi stock.

Brood Population: 150 males and 300 females; 75 fish are replaced each year

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and small heads; some albinism

Stock: Fishery

Farm or Hatchery: Fishery, Sacramento, California Origin: Wehau. Stocks from other California farms have been added. Beginning in 1980 replacements were produced at Fishery.

Brood Population: 1,000; 1/3 replaced each year

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight, body conformation, and sex characters

Stock: Flowers

Farm or Hatchery: Flowers Fish Farms, Dexter, Missouri Origin: Canaday, Husky and Lake Michigan Brood Population: Several hundred

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; Canaday stock have a brown yellow color

Stock: Fratizi

Farm or Hatchery: Fratizi Farms, Indianola, Mississippi Origin: Williamson, Transfisheries, and Tom Ellis Farm, Shaw Exchange, Mississippi Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Fresh Water

Farm or Hatchery: Fresh Water Fisheries, Silver City, Mississippi

Origin: Williamson and James Doler, Calhoun City, Mississippi, in 1980

Brood Population: 4,000; brood replacement at 3-4 year intervals

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[24]

Stock: Frog Ridge

Farm or Hatchery: Frog Ridge Catfish Farm, Ralph, Alabama Origin: Doughty in 1977

Brood Population: Undetermined; generation interval is 4-5 years

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Gant

Farm or Hatchery: Gant and Sons Farm, Cleveland, Mississippi Origin: Hammett D in 1980 and Findley in 1981

Brood Population: 300-400; brood stock ≥ 8 pounds are replaced

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Gills Gulch

Farm or Hatchery: Gills Gulch Farm, Florida

Origin: Bulger in 1971. Eighty-three brooders were added from Prime-Line Inc., (Easterling) Andalusia, Alabama, in 1980.

Brood Population: 163

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for thick bodies

Stock: Goldkist

Farm or Hatchery: Goldkist Farms, Quitman, Georgia, and Humphries, Mississippi (no longer propagated)

Origin: Commercial Mississippi stock, Yazoo, Gerard Harrison and Wesson Farms, Victoria, Arkansas, in 1968. Goldkist (Quitman) was heavily supplemented with Easterling in 1971. Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Granja

Farm or Hatchery: Granja Acuicola Calderon, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

Origin: Abaloso

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Graves

Farm or Hatchery: Graves Farm, Goodwater, Alabama Origin: Doughty and Tifton

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Green

Farm or Hatchery: Green Farm, Jackson, Alabama Origin: Farquhar and Easterling

[25]

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Grizzell Farm or Hatchery: Grizzell Farm, Monticello, Arkansas Origin: Dumas in 1978 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Gro-Moore Farm or Hatchery: Gro-Moore Farms, Merigold, Mississippi Origin: Reed **Brood Population: 460** Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body conformation Stock: Gum Springs Farm or Hatchery: Gum Springs Hatchery, Stewart, Mississippi Origin: Black in 1980 **Brood Population: 1,200** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: H & I Farm or Hatchery: H & I Farms, Isola, Mississippi Origin: Hill Fish Farm, Isola, Mississippi, Digman, Nerren, Tuggle I or II, King Fish Farm, Inverness, Mississippi; and Hawkins. These fish were obtained from 1977-1982. **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Males are selected for musculature and females for total length Stock: Hammett D Farm or Hatchery: Dan Hammett Farm, Cleveland, Mississippi Origin: Mississippi River in 1952. Many commercial Mississippi stocks have been added. **Brood Population: Undetermined**

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Hammett H

Farm or Hatchery: Henry Hammett Farm, Greenville, Mississippi

Origin: Hammett V, Dycus, and commercial Arkansas stock Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Hammett V

Farm or Hatchery: V. C. Hammett Farm, Greenville, Mississippi Origin: Mississippi River in 1950-51 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Harris Farm or Hatchery: Harris Fish Farm, Tuckerman, Arkansas Origin: Tuggle II Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Hartley Farm or Hatchery: Hartley Farms, Kingman, Kansas Origin: Ninnescah River, Kansas, in 1945; Krehbiel was added in 1984. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and stockiness

Stock: Hawkins Farm or Hatchery: Hawkins Farm, Isola, Mississippi Origin: Well-fed in 1973 and Tuggle I in 1981 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Henderson Farm or Hatchery: Rodney Henderson Farm, Yazoo City, Mississippi Origin: Rio Grande and Yazoo in 1971 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Hendry Farm or Hatchery: Hendry Correctional Institute, Immokalee, Florida Origin: Majority from Ken's. Seminole and stock from Glades Aquafarms, Homestead, Florida, have been added. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Hill* Farm or Hatchery: Leon Hill Farm, Lonoke, Arkansas Origin: Lonoke (Red River only) and Battle. Norris was added in 1983. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Hill-I

Farm or Hatchery: Hill Farms, Indianola, Mississippi Origin: Reed

Brood Population: 2,000-3,000

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Hill-M*

Farm or Hatchery: M. P. Hill Farm, Jackson County, Alabama Origin: Undetermined Mississippi stock in 1980

Brood Population: 55

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for small heads and stocky bodies

Stock: *Hurricane*

Farm or Hatchery: Hurricane Hill Fish Farm, Ripley, Tennessee

Origin: Tennessee State Fish Hatchery System in 1967. Two commercial Arkansas stocks have been added.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Husky

Farm or Hatchery: Husky Farm, Strawberry, Arkansas Origin: Burns

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: J & J

Farm or Hatchery: J & J Fish Farm, Harviell, Missouri Origin: Canaday in 1979; Digman in 1980

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; some of the brood fish (Canaday) had black splotches that were inherited by their young

Stock: Jolliff

Farm or Hatchery: Jolliff Springs Fish Farm, Koshkonog, Missouri

Origin: Canaday and Moon Fish Farm, Little Egypt, Arkansas, in 1970. Digman and fish from Current River Lakes, Corning, Arkansas, were added.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for deep bodies

Stock: Jones

Farm or Hatchery: Jones Fish Farms, Angleton, Texas

Origin: Brazos River in 1976. Albino stock from Auburn (3) individuals) were added. **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Ken's Farm or Hatchery: Ken's Fish Hatchery, Alapaha, Georgia Origin: Undetermined sources in Arkansas and Mississippi in 1966, McDonald in 1968, Georgia Fish and Game in 1970, Cowart in 1972, and Tifton in 1980-82 **Brood Population: Several thousand** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Kieffer Farm or Hatchery: Kieffer Fish Farms, Weiner, Arkansas Origin: Bayou Deview River, Arkansas, in 1956 and Burns in 1968 Brood Population: Undetermined; 100 pairs added annually Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body conformation Stock: Krehbiel Farm or Hatchery: Krehbiel Farm, Pretty Prairie, Kansas (no longer propagated) Origin: Ninnescah River in 1911 **Brood Population: NA** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Kurtz Farm or Hatchery: Kurtz Fish Farm, Elverson, Pennsylvania Origin: Hill in 1965. Sassafras River at Georgetown, Maryland, in 1970 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and small heads Stock: Kyser Farm or Hatchery: W. T. Kyser Hatchery, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Warrior River, Alabama, and from commercial Mississippi stocks Brood Population: Undetermined; brood are replaced annually with a complete exchange every 3 years Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Kyser (Auburn) Farm or Hatchery: W. T. Kyser Hatchery, Greensboro, Alabama

Origin: Auburn in 1980 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: L & WFarm or Hatchery: L & W Fish Farm, Greenville, Mississippi (no longer propagated) Origin: Mississippi River and Dumas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Lake Village

Farm or Hatchery: Sidney Farm, Lake Village, Arkansas Origin: Transfisheries, Henderson and Digman in 1980. 15,000 pounds of brood were mixed. Brood Population: 3,000 Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and body conformation

Stock: Lakeland

Farm or Hatchery: Lakeland Farms, Marion, Alabama Origin: Experimental fish of unknown origin at the Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama, in the early 1970's Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Lewis

Farm or Hatchery: Fountain Bluff, Illinois Origin: Henderson and other undetermined sources Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Lindsey*

Farm or Hatchery: Lindsey Farm, Ozark, Alabama Origin: Auburn (majority) in 1963. Easterling, Yazoo, Chattahoochee River, Alabama, Flint River, Georgia, and Ed Williams Fish Hatchery, Cordele, Georgia, were added. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: MK (Farm Fresh)

Farm or Hatchery: Farm Fresh, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Derived from MxK F₁ brood stock (Auburn University in 1977) Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: MK (Pearce)
Farm or Hatchery: Pearce Farm, Browns, Alabama
Origin: Derived from MxK F₁ brood stock (Auburn University in 1977)
Brood Population: Undetermined
Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: MM & P Farm or Hatchery: MM & P Fish Farms, Fredonia, Kansas Origin: Bonglet Farm in Arkansas Brood Population: 100-200 Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Mac's Farm or Hatchery: Mac's Fish Farm, Opelika, Alabama Origin: Easterling in 1979 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Martin

Farm or Hatchery: Martin Farm, Brewton, Alabama Origin: Conecuh River, Alabama, Newbern, and commercial stocks in Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: McDonald

Farm or Hatchery: McDonald's Fish Farm, Carthage, Mississippi

Origin: Hammett V, Arkansas River, Arkansas, and commercial Arkansas stocks in 1969 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: McNulty Farm or Hatchery: Ted McNulty Farm, Pine Bluff, Arkansas Origin: Dumas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Merrill Farm or Hatchery: Merrill Farm, Andalusia, Alabama Origin: Adams Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Miller*

Farm or Hatchery: Miller Farm, Safford, Alabama Origin: Experimental fish (probably Warrior River) at the Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama. Dumas was added in 1972. Fish were exchanged with Newbern. Brood Population: Approximately 100,000 pounds Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Missouri

Farm or Hatchery: Con Agra Fish Hatchery, Tippo, Mississippi Origin: Unknown Missouri source Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Moats

Farm or Hatchery: Moats Farm and Hatchery, Remlap, Alabama

Origin: Easterling and Bain

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Montz

Farm or Hatchery: Montz Farm, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Easterling, Yazoo, Farm Fresh G, and commercial Mississippi stocks Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Mull Farm or Hatchery: Mull Farm, Marceline, Missouri Origin: Hill in 1982 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Nelson-Anderson

Farm or Hatchery: Nelson-Anderson Farm, Arkansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Lonoke in the mid 1950's or early 1960's. At this time all of the Lonoke strain were descendants of the fish collected from the Red River in 1949.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[32]

Stock: Nerren

Farm or Hatchery: Nerren Bros., Isola, Mississippi Origin: Dumas, Yazoo, and Evans Farm, Moscow, Arkansas Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Newbern

Farm or Hatchery: Newbern Fish Hatchery, Newbern, Alabama

Origin: Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama, (probably Warrior River), Auburn, Nelson-Anderson, and Miller

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Norris

Farm or Hatchery: Norris Fish Farm, Cash, Arkansas

Origin: Black River, Arkansas, and Lake Erie. Fish from Lake Erie were acquired in 1963, 1976, and 1981.

Brood Population: Undetermined; brood replacements come from fingerlings raised on farm; native Arkansas stock are also added

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Ople*

Farm or Hatchery: Ople Farm, Warden, Illinois Origin: Commercial Arkansas stock Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Osage

Farm or Hatchery: Osage Fisheries, Osage Beach, Missouri Origin: Mississippi River in 1953. During the first 12-15 years brood replacements were obtained from the Mississippi River. Brood replacements are now selected from farm stock.

Brood Population: Undetermined; brood replaced every 4-6 years

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Osage Springs

Farm or Hatchery: Osage Springs Minnow Farm, Rogers, Arkansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Nelson-Anderson

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[33]

Stock: Parker

Farm or Hatchery: Parker Farms, Drew, Mississippi Origin: Reed

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: *Pearce*

Farm or Hatchery: Pearce Farm, Browns, Alabama

Origin: Kyser, commercial Mississippi stocks, and experimental stocks from the Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and body conformation

Stock: *Peaster*

Farm or Hatchery: Peaster Farm, Yazoo City, Mississippi Origin: Yazoo in 1965 and White River, Arkansas in 1972 Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Penn

Farm or Hatchery: Pennsylvania Power and Light, York Haven, Pennsylvania

Origin: Osage and Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania, in late 1970's

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and resistance to disease

Stock: *Pickering*

Farm or Hatchery: Pickering Brothers, Laurel, Mississippi Origin: Hammett V and commercial Mississippi stocks in the 1960's

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Pine Hill

Farm or Hatchery: Pine Hill Catfish Farm, Aliceville, Alabama Origin: Warrior River, Alabama, Coosa River, Alabama, Cahaba River, Alabama, and Northwest Alabama River drainage Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: *Plank*

Farm or Hatchery: Plank Farm, Greensboro, Alabama

Origin: Commercial Alabama stock and possibly Warrior River, Alabama. AR F₂, MK F₂, ARMK, and Tifton research stocks were added in 1984. **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Pope F Farm or Hatchery: Frank Pope Farm, Opelika, Alabama **Origin:** Auburn **Brood Population: 26 pairs** Breeding and Traits: Random mating; albinism common Stock: Pope M Farm or Hatchery: Pope Farm, Piney Hills, Alabama Origin: Auburn in 1962 and 1970 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Racoon Farm or Hatchery: Racoon Valley Fish Farm, Pleasant Hill, Missouri Origin: Central Arkansas farms, Mississippi farms, and the **Rio Grande River, Texas Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating; some albinism exists Stock: Rainbow Farm or Hatchery: Rainbow Ranch, Calhoun City, Mississippi Origin: Dumas, W. S. Gooch Farm (Biffle Farm), Mississippi, and Charles Files Farm, Arkansas **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Reed Farm or Hatchery: Tom Reed Farm, Belzoni, Mississippi Origin: Tupelo in 1966 and Coleman Brood Population: 1,000-2,000 Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Riverside Farm or Hatchery: Riverside Fish Farm, Silver City, Mississippi Origin: Farm Fish in 1981 and S & S in 1982 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Roam Farm or Hatchery: Roam Fish Farm, Woodlake, California

Origin: Wehau Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Roberts

Farm or Hatchery: Roberts Fish Farm, Hartselle, Alabama

Origin: Auburn in early 1970's

Brood Population: 1,000-1,200

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: S & M

Farm or Hatchery: S & M Fish Company, Homeplace, Mississippi

Origin: Coleman in 1980

Brood Population: 4,700

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: S & S

Farm or Hatchery: Sandling & Stephens, Inc., Silver City, Mississippi

Origin: Digman in 1980 and Harris in 1983; stock was mixed, but one group of Digman kept separate

Brood Population: 3,000 pairs

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Santee-Cooper (Gasaway)

Farm or Hatchery: Gasaway Farms, Athens, Georgia

Origin: Santee-Cooper Reservoir, South Carolina, in 1950 (41 pairs)

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Saul

Farm or Hatchery: Saul Fish Processors, Macon, Mississippi Origin: Flowing Water Catfish Farm, Mozelle, Mississippi Prood Population: Undetermined

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Schroeder

Farm or Hatchery: Schroeder Farm, Carlisle, Arkansas Origin: Dumas and commercial Mississippi stocks in 1965. Santee-Cooper (Auburn) research stock was added in the early 1980's.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Seminole

Farm or Hatchery: Seminole Tribe, Okeechobee, Florida
Origin: Welaka, Millen, Tupelo, and Orangeburg **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for stocky fish Stock: Sequoia Farm or Hatchery: Sequoia Fisheries, California Origin: Wehau **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Shepherd Farm or Hatchery: Shepherd Farm, Rosehill, Mississippi Origin: Yazoo and Meridian **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sides Farm or Hatchery: Sides Catfish Farm, Tupelo, Mississippi Origin: Tupelo in 1964 Brood Population: Undetermined; brood are replaced every 3-4 years Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sierra Farm or Hatchery: Sierra View Farm, Three Rivers, California Origin: Roam **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Simmons Farm or Hatchery: Simmons Farm, Yazoo City, Mississippi Origin: Yazoo River, Mississippi, and Yazoo. Henderson was added in 1980-81 **Brood Population: 4,000** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sooner Farm or Hatchery: Sooner Fish Farms, Washington, Oklahoma Origin: Dumas, Hartley, Hill, and commercial Arkansas stocks **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: South Alabama Farm or Hatchery: South Alabama Fish Hatcheries, Andalusia, Alabama Origin: Adams; Don Hardy, Baker, Florida; and Merrill in 1982

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Southwest

Farm or Hatchery: Southwest Fish Hatchery, Terrell, Texas Origin: War Eagle (300) and a tributary of the Mississippi River (300) in northern Minnesota in 1978

Brood Population: 600

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight, body conformation, and sexual characteristics

Stock: Spartan

Farm or Hatchery: Spartan Enterprises, Spartanburg, South Carolina

Origin: Local farm ponds stocked by Cheraw NFH, South Carolina, and from Lake Marion, Santee, South Carolina Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Spears

Farm or Hatchery: Spears Catfish Farm, Montgomery, Alabama

Origin: Auburn and Pine Hill in 1976

Brood Population: 300-600

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Spree

Farm or Hatchery: Thed Spree Farm, Boligee, Alabama

Origin: Hill in 1978. Diamond added for crossbreeding in 1984.

Brood Population: 5,500

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight, body conformation, and crossbreeding

Stock: Stallings

Farm or Hatchery: Stallings Farm, Gant, Alabama

Origin: Crossing of Triple M, Adams, and Easterling in 1980 Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Stearns

Farm or Hatchery: Stearns Hatchery, Wetumpka, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Covington in 1967

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and lack of deformities

Stock: Steele Farm or Hatchery: Steele Farm, Laurel Hill, Florida Origin: Easterling, Crowson, and Triple M in 1980 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Stephens Farm or Hatchery: Stephens Industries, Selma, Alabama Origin: Auburn and Nelson-Anderson in 1961. Nelson-Anderson albinos were added in 1962. **Brood Population: 100 pairs** Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and body conformation Stock: Stringer Farm or Hatchery: Stringer Farm, Coffeeville, Alabama Origin: Pearce in 1978 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sulick Farm or Hatchery: Sulick Farm, Shelbyville, Kentucky Origin: Streams in Virginia **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sulphur Farm or Hatchery: Sulphur Fish Hatchery, Oklahoma Origin: Dumas, Hill, Sooner, Spitz Farm (Hill strain), Oklahoma, and Catfish Acres, Shawnee, Oklahoma **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Sunflower Farm or Hatchery: Sunflower Catfish Farm, Anguilla, Mississippi Origin: Tupelo, Sam Harris Fish Farm, Mississippi, and undetermined farms. **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Tupelo is maintained separately and crossed to other Sunflower stock Stock: Tennyson Farm or Hatchery: Tennyson Farms, Grubbs, Arkansas **Origin:** Norris **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating [39]

Stock: Thomas

Farm or Hatchery: Kindle Thomas Farm, Kentucky Origin: Ohio River, Nelson-Anderson, Schroeder, and commercial stocks

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tombigbee

Farm or Hatchery: Patrick Farm, Lisman, Alabama Origin: Tombigbee River, Alabama, in 1979 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Transfisheries

Farm or Hatchery: Transfisheries, Moorehead, Mississippi Origin: Dumas, a stock from Kansas (Farm Fish, Louise, Mississippi), McDonald, and Buddy Morrison, Yazoo, Mississippi, in 1971

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; originally all strains were kept separate, selected for growth rate and crossbred

Stock: Triple M-1

Farm or Hatchery: Triple M Catfish Farm, Georgiana, Alabama

Origin: Produced through crossing Goldkist (Quitman, Georgia) females with Goldkist (Humphries, Mississippi) males in 1966-67

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Selected for reproductive performance

Stock: Triple M-2

Farm or Hatchery: Triple M Catfish Farm, Georgiana, Alabama

Origin: Unknown source in Louisiana Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tuggle I

Farm or Hatchery: Tuggle Farm, Lake Village, Arkansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Dumas, Day, and Lake Chicot, Arkansas. This stock was replaced in 1979.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[40]

Stock: Tuggle II

Farm or Hatchery: Tuggle Farm, Lake Village, Arkansas Origin: Primarily Arant and Farm Fresh-M; a small contribution from Finch

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Uvalde (Studdard)

Farm or Hatchery: Studdard Fish Farm, Moore, Texas, formerly Texas Fish Ranches

Origin: Uvalde. One hundred brood of Uvalde stock were included with the farm. Uvalde stock from Cypress Creek Fish Ranches, Sabinal, Texas, was mixed with this stock to reduce inbreeding in 1978.

Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; relatively fast growing fish

Stock: Valley

Farm or Hatchery: Valley Fish Farms, Imperial Valley, California

Origin: Wehau in 1974, Chico in 1979-80, Calaqua in 1980, Fish Breeders Cal in 1980, and Sequoia in 1980

Brood Population: 300

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Wallace

Farm or Hatchery: Wallace Fish Farm, Senatobia, Mississippi Origin: Williams Fish Farms, Oklona, Mississippi, in 1978, Biffle Fish Farm, Pomtock, Mississippi, in 1979, and Battle in 1979

Brood Population: 450; brood are replaced when they reach 8-9 pounds

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body conformation

Stock: War Eagle

Farm or Hatchery: War Eagle Minnow Farm, Huntsville, Arkansas

Origin: White River, Arkansas

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Watkins

Farm or Hatchery: Watkins Farm, Elmore, Alabama Origin: Dumas in 1970-72, Yazoo and commercial Arkansas stock have been added **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Wehau Farm or Hatchery: Wehau Fish Farms, Richdale, California (no longer propagated) Origin: Osage Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Well-Fed Farm or Hatchery: Well-Fed Farms, Mississippi Origin: Yazoo River, Mississippi, many commercial stocks have been added **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Western Farm or Hatchery: Western Farms, Texas Origin: Fletcher Adams Farm, Mississippi **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Whiskers Farm or Hatchery: Whiskers Catfish Farms, Bowling Green, Kentucky Origin: Barren River, Kentucky **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Replacements from Barren River, Kentucky Stock: Wilkerson Farm or Hatchery: Wilkerson Catfish Farm, Greensboro, Alabama Origin: Wynn Coleman III ponds (Newbern) in 1978 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Williams Farm or Hatchery: Mac's Fish Farm, Opelika, Alabama, from 1976-1978 (no longer propagated) Origin: Son Williams Farm, Greenwood, Mississippi **Brood Population: NA** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Williamson Farm or Hatchery: Williamson Farm, Kilmichael, Mississippi

[42]

Origin: Mississippi River and Aquafarms in 1976-1977 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Willow Farm or Hatchery: Willow Branch Fish Farm, Tahlequah, Oklahoma Origin: Hill in 1980-1982 and commercial Arkansas stock **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Wilson Farm or Hatchery: Wilson Fish Farm, Herrick, Illinois Origin: Sulick in 1971, Ople in 1972, and J&J 1978. Old stock were sold in 1980 and replaced with progeny from the original stock **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight Stock: Wisner Farm or Hatchery: Wisner Minnow Hatchery, Wisner, Louisiana **Origin: LSU Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Wood Farm or Hatchery: Wood Farm, Selma, Alabama Origin: Stephens, Tombigbee River, Alabama, and commercial Arkansas stock **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Woodard Farm or Hatchery: Woodard Farms, Holly Bluff, Mississippi Origin: Farm Fish (600 females) and Coleman (Arkansas River) in 1980 (800 males) and from Woodard production ponds (400 males)**Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Yazoo Farm or Hatchery: Thompson-Anderson Farm, Yazoo, Mississippi Origin: Yazoo River, Mississippi, in mid-1960's **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating [43]

Blue Catfish

Stock: Bradshaw Farm or Hatchery: Bradshaw Farms, Arkansas Origin: Arkansas River Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Coosa Farm or Hatchery: Moats Farm, Remlap, Alabama Origin: Coosa River, Alabama, below Weiss Dam Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: D & B

Farm or Hatchery: D & B Fish Farms, Crockett, Texas Origin: Females came from the Trinity River in Texas and the males from the Mississippi River in 1963 Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for small heads; fish from Trinity River had much larger heads than those from Mississippi River

Stock: Dumas

Farm or Hatchery: Edgar Farmer, Dumas, Arkansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Arkansas and Mississippi Rivers

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Edwards

Farm or Hatchery: Edwards Farm, Winnie, Texas Origin: Rio Grande and Dumas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Fish Breeders Ida Farm or Hatchery: Fish Breeders, Buhl, Idaho Origin: D & B and Dumas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating; survive and grow better than channel catfish (Fish Breeders Ida) at 70-80°F in raceways

Stock: Gasaway Farm or Hatchery: Gasaway Farm, Athens, Georgia Origin: Dumas

[44]

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Jones Farm or Hatchery: Jones Fish Farm, Angleton, Texas Origin: Mississippi River (Leon Horne) in 1972 **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Rio Grande Farm or Hatchery: Finley Co., Lonoke, Arkansas Origin: Rio Grande River, Texas **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating; has speckles on its body, hemoglobin patterns are identical to those of blue catfish from the Mississippi River Stock: Rio Grande (Hill) Farm or Hatchery: Leon Hill Farm, Lonoke, Arkansas Origin: Rio Grande **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Shepherd Farm or Hatchery: Shepherd Farm, Rosehill, Mississippi **Origin:** Auburn **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Silver Streak Farm or Hatchery: Pine Hill Catfish Farm, Aliceville, Alabama Origin: F2 stock was derived from original crossbreeds {(Mississippi River x Alabama River) x (Warrior River x Cahaba River) **Brood Population: Undetermined** Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Tombigbee Farm or Hatchery: Patrick Farm, Lisman, Alabama Origin: Tombigbee River in 1979 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Black Bullhead

Stock: Jolliff Farm or Hatchery: Jolliff Springs Fish Farm, Koshkonog, Missouri Origin: A farm pond in Alton, Missouri Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

White Catfish

Stock: Bradshaw Farm or Hatchery: Bradshaw Farms, Arkansas Origin: North Carolina Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Hatchery and Introduced Stocks

Channel Catfish

Stock: Bubbling Springs Farm or Hatchery: Bubbling Springs State Hatchery, Arizona Origin: Imperial in 1977 Brood Population: 220 pairs Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: California

Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Introduced from the Mississippi River Valley into the Sacramento River, California, in 1874 and 1890, and into the Colorado River in the 1920's Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Stock: Carbon Hill

Farm or Hatchery: Carbon Hill NFH, Alabama Origin: Tupelo, Mammoth Springs, and Corning Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Cedar Bluff

Farm or Hatchery: Cedar Bluff NFH, Kansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Uvalde, Fort Worth, Inks Dam, Tishomingo, Farmington, and local rivers

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Some albinism

Stock: Cheraw

Farm or Hatchery: Cheraw NFH, South Carolina

Origin: Ponopolis Dam, Santee-Cooper Reservoir in the late 1950's and Marion NFH in the mid 1960's. McKinney, Frankfort, Orangeburg, Millen, and Marion (NFHs) have been added. Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Chesapeake

Farm or Hatchery: Chesapeake State Fish Hatchery, Mt. Vernon, Missouri

Origin: Osage River near Osceola, Missouri

Brood Population: 200; 1/8 of the stock are replaced annually Breeding and Traits: Mass selection of fingerlings for body weight

Stock: Cohutta

Farm or Hatchery: Cohutta NFH, Dalton, Georgia

Origin: Arrowhead State Fish Hatchery, Georgia, which had obtained those fish from Auburn in 1958-59. Stock from undetermined sources has been added.

Brood Population: 200

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Cordele

Farm or Hatchery: Cordele State Fish Hatchery, Cordele, Georgia

Origin: Flint River, Georgia, in 1968 and Tifton 1978. Some brood stock may have also come from the Ocmulgee River, Georgia, and Chattahoochee River (Lake Eufaula), Alabama. This stock was transferred to Skidaway Institute and was ancestral to the Tifton strain.

Brood Population: 300 brooders; are replaced when 6 years old

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Corning

Farm or Hatchery: Corning NFH, Arkansas

Origin: Tupelo, Lonoke, Mammoth Springs, Marion in 1974, Carbon Hill, Meridian, Stuttgart, and a commercial farm in Stuttgart, Arkansas

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: DOC

Farm or Hatchery: Little Grassy Fish Hatchery, Carbondale, Illinois

Origin: Tif, Tif+, Tif-, Tif Prop+, Osage, native Illinois

fish from Lake Carlyle (Kaskaskia River), Bull Shoals Lake, Arkansas, S & S in 1983, Powerton Lake, Illinois River, Illinois, in 1983

Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Dakota

Farm or Hatchery: Yankton NFH, South Dakota (no longer propagated)

Origin: Missouri River, South Dakota, Tongue River, Montana, and Lake McConaughy, Platte River, Nebraska. Fingerlings were distributed throughout the Dakotas, Nebraska, and Montana.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; strain from the Missouri River had thick skin

Stock: Dexter

Farm or Hatchery: Dexter NFH, New Mexico (no longer propagated)

Origin: Never spawned their own stock. Distributed fish from National Fish Hatcheries in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Durant

Farm or Hatchery: Durant State Fish Hatchery, Bryan County, Oklahoma

Origin: Uvalde in 1967, Tishomingo in 1967, Fort Worth, and local Oklahoma Rivers

Brood Population: Undetermined; brood replaced every 3 to 4 years

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection of 1 percent of the fastest growing fingerlings

Stock: Farmington

Farm or Hatchery: Farmington NFH, Kansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Cedar Bluff, Tishomingo, Inks Dam, Gerard River, Kansas, and local rivers

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Fort Worth

Farm or Hatchery: Fort Worth NFH, Texas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Lake Texoma, Uvalde, Imperial (NFH), Dexter, Tishomingo, Cedar Bluff, San Marcos (State), Farmington, and Durant

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Frankfort

Farm or Hatchery: Frankfort NFH, Frankfort, Kentucky Origin: Undetermined source in 1961. Fish from the National Fish Hatchery System and Cohutta have been added. Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; 50 percent of brood develop good external sexual characteristics

Stock: Harrison

Farm or Hatchery: Harrison NFH, Charles City, Virginia

Origin: James River, Virginia, in 1962. This stock was supplemented with catfish from two Virginia lakes, James River drainage in 1977. Albino stock from Frankfort have been added.

Brood Population: 300; replaced every 4-5 years

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight (largest 10-30 percent); do not spawn until 5 or 6 years old; albinism common

Stock: Imperial

Farm or Hatchery: Imperial Valley Fish Hatchery, Niland, California

Origin: Lower Colorado River, California

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Selected for spawning early in the year, spawning at young age, fast growth, and good sexual characters

Stock: Imperial (Uvalde)

Farm or Hatchery: Uvalde NFH, Texas

Origin: Imperial in 1977; 220 pairs originally

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Inks Dam

Farm or Hatchery: Inks Dam NFH, Burnet, Texas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Lower Colorado River, Lake Buchanan, Fort Worth, Lake Texoma, Uvalde

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[49]

Stock: Inks Dam (Imperial)

Farm or Hatchery: Inks Dam NFH, Burnet, Texas Origin: Imperial in 1978; 560 original stock Brood Population: 450; replaced every 4-6 years Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Lonoke

Farm or Hatchery: Arkansas Fish and Game Hatcheries, Arkansas

Origin: Pools in the Red River below Denison Dam, Lake Texoma, Oklahoma, in 1949. These fish and their progeny were transported, reared and exchanged at State and private hatcheries in Huntsville, Lonoke, Centerton, Smith, and War Eagle Farm, Arkansas, in the mid-1950's. Stock was added from University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, Stuttgart, and Corning.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; reported to perform well in cage culture

Stock: Lyman

Farm or Hatchery: Lyman Fisheries Station, Gulfport, Mississippi

Origin: Marion (NFH) in 1966

Brood Population: 200

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; albinism is not found in this Marion stock

Stock: Mammoth Spring

Farm or Hatchery: Mammoth Spring NFH, Arkansas Origin: Marion (NFH) in 1974. Stock was added from Corning and Tupelo.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Marion (Carbon Hill) Farm or Hatchery: Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama Origin: Carbon Hill in 1983 Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Marion (NFH)

Farm or Hatchery: Marion NFH, Alabama (no longer propagated)

[50]

Origin: Nelson-Anderson in the mid-1950's or early 1960's. Thirty pairs were obtained. Marion (NFH) and Auburn exchanged some brood stock in 1963 and 1965. A few individuals were added to increase population size when brood population was low.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Marion (State)

Farm or Hatchery: Marion State Fish Hatchery, Marion, Alabama

Origin: Marion (NFH) in early 1970's. Brood population was 12 in 1976.

Brood Population: 200

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: McDuffie

Farm or Hatchery: McDuffie State Fish Hatchery, Georgia Origin: Chattahoochee River at Eufaula, Alabama, and from an unknown hatchery in Arkansas (probably Lonoke in 1962, 1964, and 1967)

Brood Population: 200-250

Breeding and Traits: Thirty-four brood replacements are selected annually from largest fish left in Georgia public fishing lakes

Stock: *McKinney*

Farm or Hatchery: McKinney Lake NFH, Hoffman, North Carolina

Origin: Marion (NFH) and Cheraw in 1969

Brood Population: 250; 20 percent of the stock is replaced annually

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; 1 percent albinism observed

Stock: Meridian

Farm or Hatchery: Meridian NFH, Mississippi

Origin: Tupelo, Stuttgart, Mammoth Springs in 1972-73, Tupelo in 1975, and Lyman in 1975-78

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Millen Farm or Hatchery: Millen NFH, Georgia Origin: Tupelo

[51]

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Natchitoches Farm or Hatchery: Natchitoches NFH, Louisiana Origin: Cane River, Louisiana, Black River, Louisiana, and bayous of Louisiana Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Orangeburg

Farm or Hatchery: Orangeburg NFH, South Carolina Origin: Ponopolis Dam, Santee-Cooper Reservoir, South Carolina, in the late 1950's and Marion (NFH) in the mid-1960's Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Pratt

Farm or Hatchery: Pratt State Fish Hatchery, Pratt, Kansas Origin: Kansas rivers in 1911. Approximately 60 Lonoke brood fish were added in the mid-1960's.

Brood Population: 1,144; 1,827 replacements from 4-year classes are maintained to replace brood culled at 8-10 years of age

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Rathbun

Farm or Hatchery: Rathbun State Fish Hatchery, Moravia, Iowa

Origin: Corning (84 percent), Easterling (14 percent), and Rathburn Reservoir (Chariton River Drainage), Iowa (2 percent)

Brood Population: 1,000

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: San Marcos (NFH)

Farm or Hatchery: San Marcos NFH, San Marcos, Texas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Lake Texoma, Texas, Inks Dam (NFH), San Marcos (State), Uvalde, Trinity River, Texas

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: San Marcos (State)

Farm or Hatchery: San Marcos State Fish Hatchery, Texas Origin: Lake Texoma, San Marcos (NFH), Texas, and Okla-

[52]

homa streams, Trinity River, Texas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Seneca

Farm or Hatchery: Senecaville NFH, Senecaville, Ohio Origin: Seneca Lake, Ohio, an undetermined Arkansas source, and Tupelo Brood Population: 400; 10 percent are replaced annually Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight and

resistance to stress; stumpy individuals observed in progeny of Seneca Lake stock

Stock: Tenn State Farm or Hatchery: Tennessee State Fish Hatchery System, Tennessee Origin: Tennessee River, Tennessee, and commercial Arkansas stock Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Texas State

Farm or Hatchery: Texas State Fish Hatchery System, Texas Origin: Trinity River, Texas, Texas streams, Oklahoma streams, San Marcos (NFH), and San Marcos (State) Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tishomingo

Farm or Hatchery: Tishomingo NFH, Oklahoma Origin: Blue River, Oklahoma in 1930's; Washita River, Oklahoma; Grand River, Fort Gibson, Oklahoma; Red River (Lake Texoma), Oklahoma; Fort Worth, Pratt, and Durant in 1950's and 1960's Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tupelo

Farm or Hatchery: Tupelo NFH, Mississippi Origin: Tombigbee River, Mississippi, in the 1960's. Lonoke, Lyman, Meridian, Stuttgart, and fish from the Santee-Cooper Reservoir, South Carolina, were added. Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[53]

Stock: Uvalde

Farm or Hatchery: Uvalde NFH, Texas (no longer propagated) Origin: Fort Worth, Imperial

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Waterville

Farm or Hatchery: Waterville State Fish Hatchery, Minnesota Origin: St. Louis River, Minnesota (34), Blue Earth River, Minnesota (10), and Mississippi River (Lake Pepin), Minnesota (118), in 1979-81

Brood Population: 162

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Welaka

Farm or Hatchery: Welaka NFH, Florida

Origin: St. John's River, Florida (one spawn, 1960's), however, most fingerlings distributed from this station were Millen or Orangeburg.

Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Blue Catfish

Stock: Arkansas

Farm or Hatchery: Arkansas State Fish Hatcheries, Arkansas Origin: Pools in the Red River, Oklahoma, below Denison Dam after its construction in 1949. Auburn was added in the 1970's.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Blind Pony

Farm or Hatchery: Blind Pony State Hatchery, Sweet Springs, Missouri

Origin: Auburn University in 1972

Brood Population: Approximately 1/2 the brood fish are replaced every 5 years

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: California

Farm or Hatchery: NA

Origin: Introduced (Stuttgart) into Lake Jennings, Sutherland Reservoir, El-Capitan Reservoir, San Vincente Reservoir, and Santee Lake chain, California, in 1969 (1,990 original stock) Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: NA

Stock: Marion Farm or Hatchery: Marion State Fish Hatchery, Alabama Origin: Auburn Brood Population: 80 Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Oklahoma Farm or Hatchery: North Platte State Fish Hatchery, Nebraska Origin: Oklahoma rivers Brood Population: 25 pairs Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Texoma Farm or Hatchery: Durant State Fish Hatchery, Oklahoma Origin: Lake Texoma, Oklahoma Brood Population: 100 pairs Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Black Bullhead

Stock: California Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Introduced to California in 1874 from the Mississippi River Valley, these fish are common in Kern Kings and Delta Rivers Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Stock: Lake Mills Farm or Hatchery: Lake Mills NFH, Lake Mills, Wisconsin Origin: Mississippi River Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Brown Bullhead

Stock: California Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Introduced into California from Lake Champlain, Vermont, in 1874, these fish (70) were planted in the Sacramento River Basin and are widespread in California Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

[55]

Flathead Catfish

Stock: California Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Arizona Fish and Game introduced flathead catfish into the Colorado River in 1962 and these fish are now found in the Imperial Valley Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Stock: Cape Fear
Farm or Hatchery: McKinney Lake NFH, Hoffman, North Carolina
Origin: Cape Fear River, North Carolina in 1978
Brood Population: 30 (P₁ generation)
Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Monroe

Farm or Hatchery: Monroe Fish Hatchery, Monroe, Louisiana Origin: Lakes in Louisiana; Lake Bussey (20), Lake D'Arbonne (3), Lake Claiborne (3), Lake Bistineau (12), and Cross Lake (4)

Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Seneca Farm or Hatchery: Senecaville NFH, Ohio Origin: Muskingum River Brood Population: Undetermined (F₁ generation) Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tishomingo Farm or Hatchery: Tishomingo NFH, Oklahoma (no longer propagated) Origin: Lake Texoma Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Waterville

Farm or Hatchery: State Fish Hatchery, Waterville, Minnesota Origin: Lake Pepin, Mississippi River (64) in 1979-82. Two individuals from the Minnesota River were added in 1981. Brood Population: 66

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

White Catfish

Stock: California Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Introduced from the Raritan River, New Jersey. Fiftyfour were planted in the San Joaquin River near Stockton, California, in 1874. Now located in every major California river drainage except Klamoth and Colorado. Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Stock: Millen Farm or Hatchery: Millen NFH, Georgia (no longer propagated) Origin: Auburn II Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Yellow Bullhead

Stock: California Farm or Hatchery: NA Origin: Introduced in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta from the Mississippi Valley in 1874. Common only in Colorado River and in Lost River, Modoc County. Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: NA

Research Stocks

Channel Catfish

Stock: AR-3Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Mating 6 Auburn females with 6 Rio Grande males (AR). Three AR F₂ spawns were obtained. The largest 10 percent of the F₂ were selected as brood stock and they produced eight F₃, AR-3 spawns. Brood Population: 100 Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: ARMK-3

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Same six A x R pairings as AR-3 and 6 Marion females with 6 Kansas males (MK). Three pairings each of AR x MK and MK x AR (ARMK) were accomplished in next generation. Largest 10 percent of these 4-strain F_1 crossbreeds were selected as brood stock. These fish were then mated (33 pairings); largest 10 percent of resulting fingerlings were selected to form the base for ARMK-3.

Brood Population: 70

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Aquafarms (Auburn)

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Aquafarms (MSU) in 1983; two sib lots totalling 10,000 fry were obtained Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Aquafarms (MSU)

Farm or Hatchery: Mississippi State University, Mississippi Origin: Aquafarms Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

breeding and Traits. Random in

Stock: Auburn

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Rivers in Arkansas, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. Original stock came from Osage Springs in 1956. More fish were brought to Auburn from Osage Springs, Marion (NFH), and Fort Worth in 1957. Additional NFH stock were introduced to Auburn from Burnet, Texas, and Uvalde, Texas, in 1958. Some stock was exchanged between Auburn and Marion (NFH) in 1963 and 1965.

Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; excellent dressing percent (13), difficult to seine (13), females produce fast growing F_1 's when crossbred, albinism common, growth rate is moderate.

Stock: Auburn (T A & M) Farm or Hatchery: Texas A & M University, Texas Origin: Auburn in early 1970's Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random meeting

Stock: Auburn S Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Auburn Brood Population: 100 Breeding and Traits: Mass selection (2 generations) for body weight, disease resistance, tolerance of low dissolved oxygen. No albinism observed for two generations.

Stock: *FFES-1*

Farm or Hatchery: Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station, Stuttgart, Arkansas

Origin: Schroeder Farm, Arkansas, in 1979. Fry were obtained from 213 spawns. This stock originated from Dumas and commercial Mississippi stocks.

Brood Population: Several hundred

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Illini x Tifton Prop +

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Reciprocal crossbreeds were made between Tifton Prop+ and Illini. Illini is a wild stock that came from Carlyle and Shelbyville Reservoirs, Kaskaskia River, Illinois. Brood Population: 20 pairs Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Kansas

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Krehbiel in 1970. This fish originated (30-50 original fish) from the Ninnescah River, Pratt, Kansas, in 1911. Stock at Auburn University was derived from 6-8 pairings in 1976. Brood Population: 120

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; resistant to disease, grows rapidly, matures sexually at four years of age.

Stock: Kansas S

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Kansas

Brood Population: 70

Breeding and Traits: Selected for body weight (two generations); resistant to disease, grows rapidly

Stock: Kentucky Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated) Origin: Kentucky River, Kentucky Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

[59]

Stock: LSU

Farm or Hatchery: Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Origin: Eggs from 4 different geographic locations were collected in 1969 (Lake des Allemands, Louisiana, Amite River, Louisiana, and 2 stocks from commercial farms, Dumas and Yazoo). They were crossbred (5 spawns 1972). Two spawns were obtained in 1974 to produce the F_2 generation. Parentage is uncertain, present brood stock is F_2 whose genes could be any combination of the above.

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: LaCrosse

Farm or Hatchery: LaCrosse Research Station, USDI, La-Crosse, Wisconsin Origin: Imperial

Brood Population: 20-30 pairs

Breeding and Traits: Selected against shortened caudal peduncles

Stock: Lake Village (MSU) Farm or Hatchery: Mississippi State University, Mississippi Origin: Lake Village Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: $M \times K$

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Crossbreed between Marion females and Kansas males

Brood Population: 50 pairs

Breeding and Traits: F_1 fingerlings are fast growing and become excellent brood stock that readily spawn

Stock: MK-3

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University

Origin: Six M x K spawns were produced in 1976. Eleven F_2 spawns were produced in 1979. The largest 10 percent of the F_2 were selected for future brood stock in 1980. Thirteen F_3 spawns were produced in 1982. The largest 10 percent were chosen for future brood stock.

Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight; rapid rate of growth

Stock: MSU

Farm or Hatchery: Mississippi State University, Mississippi Origin: Developed by crossing Lake Village with Aquafarms, selecting the largest F_1 's and producing 3 F_2 spawns. Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Marion

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Marion (NFH) in 1970. Was perpetuated in 1976 with 6 pairings.

Brood Population: 120

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; highly seinable, relatively large head, very poor disease resistance (13, 59), albinism common, growth rate moderate, a brassy color, prefeeding behavior in small ponds resulting in schooling and swimming rapidly causing a rippling effect on the pond surface.

Stock: Marion (Kyser)

Farm or Hatchery: Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Kyser in mid-1970's. Stock was eliminated in 1982. Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Marion S

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Marion

Brood Population: 60

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection (2 generations) for body weight; highly seinable, relatively large head (13, 59), albinism common, rapid growth, brassy color, prefeeding behavior in small ponds resulting in schooling and swimming rapidly causing a rippling effect on the pond surface.

Stock: Minnesota

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: St. Louis River, Minnesota

Brood Population: 8 males, 2 females

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight; spawn early in season, produce large eggs and fry (19, 59), poor resistance to disease

Stock: *Pine Bluff*

Farm or Hatchery: University of Arkansas Pine Bluff, Arkansas

Origin: University of Arkansas-Pine Bluff, Schroeder, Hill, McNulty, and Lonoke

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; the Lonoke strain performed well in cages

Stock: *Purdue* Farm or Hatchery: Purdue University Origin: Farm-Fish and Osage Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Rio Grande

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Rio Grande River, Falcon Reservoir, Texas-Mexico; brood stock was captured from the reservoir in 1970 as subadults, reared to maturity in ponds at Texas A & M University, and transported to Auburn University in 1971

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating; excellent dressing percentage (13, 59). They spawn late, exhibit poor growth, very susceptible to channel catfish virus disease, columnaris, and *Ichthyopthirius*, more sensitive to KMn0₄ than other strains of channel catfish, mature at 2 years of age.

Stock: *Rio Grande S*

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Rio Grande

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight, excellent dressing percent (13, 59). They spawn late, exhibit poor growth, very susceptible to channel catfish virus disease, columnaris and *Ichthyopthirius*, more sensitive to KMnO₄ than other strains of channel catfish, mature at 2 years of age.

Stock: Santee-Cooper (Auburn)

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Stock two generations removed from native fish captured in the Santee-Cooper Reservoir, South Carolina; had been previously cultured at Kerr Foundation and Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station

Brood Population: 9 males, 18 females

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Stoneville

Farm or Hatchery: Stoneville Experiment Station, Stoneville, Mississippi

Origin: Farm Fresh-M

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Stuttgart

Farm or Hatchery: Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station (USDI) Stuttgart, Arkansas (no longer propagated)

Origin: Arkansas River, Arkansas, White River, Arkansas, and Dumas in the late 1960's. Additions were made from Lonoke and commercial Arkansas stock.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tennessee

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Tennessee River, Kentucky Dam, Kentucky

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random Mating

Stock: Tifton

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Tifton strain was developed at the Coastal Plains Experiment Station, Tifton, Georgia—derived by crossbreeding following stocks: Goldkist I from Goldkist, Inc., Quitman, Georgia, in 1973, Cordele in 1969; Goldkist II from Goldkist Inc., Quitman, Georgia, in 1970; Marion (albinos), Auburn in 1973, and Pickering in 1973. Crossbreeding resulted in 30 spawns. After one generation, the proportion of the genome contributed by these strains was Goldkist I, 13 percent; Cordele, 5 percent; Goldkist II, 11 percent; Marion, 21 percent; Pickering, 21 percent; and Auburn, 29 percent.

Brood Population: 100

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Tifton CV⁺

Farm or Hatchery: Tifton Agricultural Experiment Station, Tifton, Georgia (no longer propagated)

Origin: Tifton was base population. After one generation of selection for uniformity of growth proportion of genome from each population was Marion, 28 percent; Pickering, 28 percent; Auburn, 11 percent; Cordele, 11 percent; Goldkist II, 11 percent; and Goldkist I, 11 percent. After two generations of selection (second generation selected for fast growth rate), proportion of genome from each population was Pickering, 34 percent; Marion, 29 percent; Auburn, 9 percent; Cordele, 9 percent; Goldkist II, 9 percent; and Goldkist I, 9 percent. Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Selection for variability and increased body weight.

Stock: Tifton CV⁻

Farm or Hatchery: Tifton Agricultural Experiment Station, Tifton, Georgia (no longer propagated)

Origin: Tifton was the base population. After one generation of selection for growth variability, proportion of genome from each population was Marion, 37 percent; Auburn, 20 percent; Goldkist I, 13 percent; Pickering, 13 percent; Goldkist II, 13. percent; and Cordele, 4 percent. After two generations (second generation selected for rapid growth), the proportion of genome from each population was Marion, 19 percent; Auburn, 19 percent; Goldkist I, 25 percent; Pickering, 19 percent; Goldkist II, 11 percent; and Cordele, 6 percent.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Selection for uniformity and increased body weight

Stock: *Tifton* Prop⁺

Farm or Hatchery: Tifton Agricultural Experiment Station, Tifton, Georgia (no longer propagated)

Origin: Tifton was the base population. After one generation, proportion of genome from each population was Marion, 33 percent; Auburn, 22 percent; Pickering, 16 percent; Cordele, 12 percent; Goldkist II, 12 percent; and Goldkist I, 5 percent. Family records were not kept after this time.

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: Tifton+

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama

Origin: Tifton⁺ originated from the same base population as Tifton. Largest individuals of those crossbred populations were chosen as brood stock. After the first generation of selection, proportion of genome from each stock was Auburn, 29 percent; Pickering, 31 percent; Goldkist I, 6 percent; Goldkist II, 11 percent; Marion, 20 percent; and Cordele, 3 percent. After the second generation, these crossbred families were selected for body weight and outcrossed to produce the third generation. At this time, the genome represented Auburn, 16 percent; Marion, 16 percent; Pickering, 28 percent; Goldkist II, 28 percent; Goldkist I, 6 percent; and Cordele, 6 percent. Stock was transferred to Auburn University during the third generation and the largest Tif⁺ and Tif Prop⁺ selected for brood stock

Brood Population: 70

Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight

Stock: *Tifton*⁻

Farm or Hatchery: Tifton Agricultural Experiment Station, Tifton, Georgia (no longer propagated)

Origin: Tifton was the base population. After the first generation of selection for decreased body weight, proportion of genome from each population was Cordele, 31 percent; Auburn, 31 percent; Goldkist I, 19 percent; Goldkist II, 6 percent; Marion, 6 percent; and Pickering, 6 percent. After two generations of selection, proportion of genome was unchanged. Family records are not available for the next generation. Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Selection for decreased body weight

Stock: Uvalde (A & M)

Farm or Hatchery: Texas A & M University

Origin: Uvalde

Brood Population: Undetermined

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Warrior

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated)

Origin: Warrior River, Alabama

Brood Population: NA

Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Blue Catfish

Stock: Auburn

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama Origin: Tensaw and Warrior Rivers, Alabama, reared to maturity at the Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama, and transported to Auburn University in 1975 Brood Population: 60 Breeding and Traits: Mass selection for body weight; blue catfish from Tensaw River mature at earlier age and smaller sizes than other strains of blue catfish

Stock: *Purdue* Farm or Hatchery: Purdue University Origin: D & B Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Stuttgart Farm or Hatchery: Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station (USDI), Arkansas (no longer propagated) Origin: Arkansas River, Arkansas, and Dumas Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Brown Bullhead

Stock: Auburn Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated) Origin: Streams in Lee County, Alabama. Stock was cultured in the 1950's and early 1960's at Auburn University. This stock was also cultured at Stearn's Farm, Wetumpka, Alabama, and Millen NFH, Georgia, in the early 1960's. Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Flathead Catfish

Stock: Stuttgart Farm or Hatchery: Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station (USDI), Arkansas (no longer propagated) Origin: Arkansas River and Bayou Meado in Arkansas Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

White Catfish

Stock: Auburn I Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated) Origin: Santee-Cooper River system, South Carolina, reared to maturity at the Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Alabama, and transported to Auburn University in 1975 Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating Stock: Auburn II

Farm or Hatchery: Auburn University, Alabama (no longer propagated) Origin: Hoffman, North Carolina, in the late 1950's Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Purdue Farm or Hatchery: Purdue University, Indiana Origin: Bradshaw and Gould Farm, Arkansas Brood Population: Undetermined Breeding and Traits: Random mating

Stock: Stuttgart Farm or Hatchery: Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station (USDI), Arkansas (no longer propagated) Origin: Orangeburg, South Carolina Brood Population: NA Breeding and Traits: Random mating

CATFISH BREEDING PROGRAMS

A variety of breeding programs can improve culture traits such as growth rate, reproductive performance, dressing percentage, catchability (seining, trapping, and angling), resistance to disease, and tolerance to low oxygen. These programs can be as simple as choosing strains that already possess superior traits or can be more complex such as crossbreeding, hybridization, polyploidization, mass selection, or family selection programs which alter the traits of existing stocks.

Strain Evaluation

Channel catfish strains originating from different geographic locations within the United States grow at different rates and domesticated strains grow faster than native strains (11, 13, 19, 33, 68). Differences exist in growth rate during winter (19) as well as during summer. Strains also differ in disease resistance (22, 50), morphometrics (17, 60), length variation (8), hemoglobin (63), resistance to parasites (55), dressing percentage (13), seinability (13), feed conversion efficiency (13), spawning date, reproductive performance, and age of maturity (25).

Some of these strains exhibit various anomalies. Smitherman et al. (59) found stump-bodied fish within the Auburn strain. This anomaly was caused by compressed vertebrae; dressing percentage and filet percentage were reduced in the stumpbodied fish. It is not known whether this trait is genetically or environmentally determined. Albino catfish are common and grow at the same rate as normally pigmented catfish (51)but are more vulnerable to predation. Bondari (5) demonstrated that albinism in catfish is a simple recessive trait.

Crossbreeding

Crossbreeding is a mating method designed to produce immediate improvement through hybrid vigor. Intraspecific crossbreeding in channel catfish usually increases growth rate (21), disease resistance (22, 55), and reproductive performance (25). The best crossbreeds grow 10-15 percent faster than their best parent strain. Reciprocal crossbreeds do not grow at the same rate (21, 23). The spawning rate between strains to produce crossbreeds may not be as efficient as pure strain matings (58).

Hybridization and Polyploidization

Different species of catfish have distinct culture traits. Attempts have been made to take advantage of these specific characteristics and find crosses exhibiting heterotic growth rates through hybridization. Dupree and Green (27) artificially hybridized the seven major Ictalurid species and produced 21 of their hybrids. They found that the channel x white was the only hybrid that grew at heterotic rates in aquarium studies. However, Chappell (13) found that the channel x white hybrid catfish grew slowly from fingerlings to harvestable size in ponds. He also found that the number of fertile and viable channel x white and white x blue eggs was extremely low. The hybrids blue x channel, channel x white, and white x blue have large fat deposits in the viscera (13) that cause poor dressing percentage in these hybrids and are associated with abnormal sexual development. The white x blue results in all female progeny.

Giudice (31), Yant et al. (67), Chappell (13), and Tave et al. (61) found that the hybrid channel x blue grew approximately 18 percent faster than channel catfish. Yant et al. (67) found dressing percentage was higher in the channel x blue hybrid than in channel catfish. The hybrid was also more catchable by angling (61) than channel or blue catfish, and hybrids with blue parentage were more seinable than channel or white catfish (13). Hybridizing channel and blue catfish does not increase resistance to channel catfish virus disease (49). The channel x blue hybrids tolerate lower oxygen concentrations than channel catfish (26). The reciprocal hybrid, blue x channel, does not exhibit heterotic growth or dressing percentage (13).

The channel-blue hybrids exhibit paternal predominance, possessing the appearance and traits of their male parent (23). The channel x blue hybrid inherits the desirable traits of growth uniformity, body conformation, morphometric uniformity, and seinability from its male parent, the blue catfish.

Channel x blue hybrid catfish are not presently used in commercial culture because of the lack of consistency in hybridization success (62). Tave and Smitherman (62) determined hormone injection of 1100 IU human chorionic gonadoptropin per kilogram female increased the hybridization rate between channel catfish females and blue catfish males, and use of crossbred channel catfish females increases the hybridization rate with blue catfish (25). Tave and Smitherman (62) found that hybrid eggs hatched normally and hybrid fry had normal viability.

Wolters et al. (66) indicate induction of triploidy may also increase growth rate in channel catfish which may be a result of lack of normal gonadal development in triploid individuals.

Mass Selection and Inbreeding

Mass selection has been an effective breeding program to increase body weight in channel catfish (6, 22). One generation of mass selection upon Rio Grande, Marion, and Kansas strains of channel catfish at Auburn University resulted in responses to selection and realized heritabilities of: 63 grams, .24 \pm .06; 73 grams, .50 \pm .13; and 54 grams, .33 \pm .10, respectively (22). Pooled response was 64 grams and pooled realized heritability was .34 \pm .07. Males and females had similar responses to selection. Selection for body weight at 500 grams improved body weight of fingerlings at 30 grams (59). Selection for body weight did not affect dressing percentage, visceral percentage, head percentage, or seinability (59). Increased fecundity (Rio Grande, Kansas) and survival (Rio Grande, Marion) were correlated with increased body weight.

Within crossbred populations [Marion x Kansas, (MK), and Auburn x Rio Grande, (AR)], certain individuals grew faster than the remainder of the population (19). The largest MK and AR were mated in all four possible combinations to determine if there were any additive effects that made them larger than the remaining crossbreds. Mean body weights of various F_2 combinations were that of the mid-parent value. This indicated dominance genetics had made these larger individuals superior to the remaining crossbred catfish.

Bondari (6) obtained a 20 percent increase in body weight after one generation of selection with the Tifton strain (University of Georgia), but a much lower realized heritability, 0.14, than obtained by Dunham and Smitherman (22). The difference in results might be explained by the mating scheme of the Tifton experiment. The breeding program implemented was a combination of family selection, mass selection, and crossbreeding with the major effects probably attributable to crossbreeding.

A concern in selection programs is the potential of detrimental effects through inbreeding. One generation of full-sib mating did not affect reproductive performance or growth rate in the Tifton strain of channel catfish; however, two generations of inbreeding decreased growth rate of the Tifton strain (59).

Cellular Genetics

The cytology of catfish is increasingly important as modern genetics and genetic engineering gain prominence. Since the makeup of chromosome complement may considerably affect the mechanics of inheritance, cytogenetic information on species utilized for selective breeding and aquacultural studies is of potentially great importance to fish geneticists and breeders. A basic understanding of the karyotype may be useful in determining the mechanics of linkage groups, explaining hereditary abnormalities, elucidating sex-determining mechanisms, facilitating genetic improvement through hybridization, and explaining hybrid fertility problems (60).

The study of biochemical genetics and isozymes has applications similar to karyology. In addition, isozymes can be a tool used to identify specific stocks and measure changes in variation. Data on karyology and biochemical genetics of catfish are in tables 1-4.

GENETIC DATA AND PERFORMANCE RECORDS FOR RESEARCH STRAINS OF CATFISH

The relative performance of some of the previously described strains and crosses are reported in this section. The data were obtained at Auburn University, Kerr Foundation, Stuttgart Fish Farming Experimental Station, and Texas A & M University.

Species	No.	2N	FN	LC	LM	LC + 2N	Formula	HoM%	Μ%	HrM%
Ictalurus punctatus	4	58	92			58	34msm 94stt	25.8	74.9	0.0
Ictalurus furcatus	Ĝ	58	84			00	0 1110111,4 1000	40.0		0.0
Ictalurus natalis	ž	62	84	2	2	64	22msm.40stt	30.5	67.8	1.7
Ictalurus melas	3	60	$\tilde{76}$	-	-	őõ	16msm.44stt	38.9	58.3	2.8
Ictalurus hrunneus	Ū	62	96-106			00	101110111, 1 1000	00.0	0010	
Ictalurus nebulosus	9	60	76			60	16msm.44stt	31.5	64.5	4.0
Ictalurus platycephalus	v	54	92			00		0110	0 110	
Ictalurus serracanthus	1	52	9 0	8	6	60	38msm.14stt	33.4	53.3	13.3
Ictalurus catus	3	48	64-68	0	Ŭ					
Pylodictis olivaris	3	56	82	4	2	60	26msm.30stt	31.1	67.2	1.5
Noturus gilberti	2	54	82	4	$\overline{2}$	58	28msm.26stt	34.7	65.3	0.0
Noturus insignis	6	54	74	4	-	58	20msm.34stt	27.4	71.0	1.6
Noturus exilis	ž	54	68	6		60	14msm.40stt	43.0	57.0	0.0
Noturus nocturnus	10	48	$\overline{72}$	10	8	58	24msm.24stt	24.1	75.1	0.8
Noturus leptacanthus	10	46	72	16	12	62	26msm.20stt	24.5	75.5	0.0
Noturus funebris	2	44	68	14	12	58	24msm.20stt	48.7	51.3	0.0
Noturus phaeus	3	42	68	14	12	56	26msm.16stt	23.3	73.4	3.3
Noturus gyrinus	11	42	72	14	10	56	30msm.12stt	26.6	71.2	2.2
Noturus lachneri	9	42	72	12	10	54	30msm.12stt	34.8	63.0	2.2
Noturus flavus (Cooper Cr.)	2	50	70	6		56	20msm.30stt	44.8	52.6	2.6
Noturus flavus	8	48	70	8	2	56	22msm.26stt	27.8	71.2	1.0
Noturus flavibinnis	2	52	82	10	4	62	30msm.22stt	38.7	59.6	1.7
Noturus miurus	11	50	74	12	8	62	24msm.26stt	39.6	58.6	1.8
Noturus albater	13	66-72	82	4	-		,			
Noturus elegans	3	46	82	8	8	54	36msm.10stt	46.7	53.3	0.0
Noturus h. hildebrandi	15	46	80	12	10	58	34msm.12stt	35.7	61.1	3.2
Noturus hildebrandi lautus	6	46	80	12	10	58	34msm.12stt	33.8	64.2	2.0
Noturus flavater	1	44	64	14	10	58	20msm.24stt	33.3	66.7	0.0
Noturus eleutherus	7	42	66	16	10	58	24msm,18stt	32.7	63.6	3.7
Noturus stigmosus	1	42	62	12	8	54	20msm,22stt	26.7	73.3	0.0
Noturus munitus	.8	42	62	16	10	58	20msm.22stt	42.4	57.6	0.0
Noturus taylori	9	40	63-64	16	12	56	24msm,16stt	40.1	59.4	1.4

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF KARYOTYPE DATA FOR 26 SPECIES OF ICTALURID CATFISH¹

¹Abbreviations: number of specimens (N), diploid number (2N), fundamental number (FN), number of large chromosomes (LC), number of large msm's (LM), percent of hypomodal counts (HoM%), percent of modal counts (M%), percent of hypermodal counts (HrM%). (Adapted from [43]).
All-10 -]	Frequen	icy, by s	tock						
Allele	М	MS	K	KS	MK-4	ARMK	AR-3	А	AS	R	RS	Tif	Tif+	ABL	AIWH
AAT-A-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.00
AAT-A-2	.00	.00	.00	.00		.00		.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00
AAT-B-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.00
AAT-B-2	.00	.00	.00	.00		.00		.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00
AAT-M	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ADH-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	Basic and A	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ALD-B	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
CA-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	.00	.00
CA-2	.00	.00	.00	.00				.00	.00		.00	.00	.00	1.00	1.00
CK-A-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	.00	1.00
CK-A-2	.00	.00	.00	.00		.00		.00	.00		.00	.00	.00	1.00	.00
СК-В-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
CK-C-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
EST-A-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
EST-B-1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00
EST-B-L-1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00^{1}	.00
EST-D-1	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00²	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
EST-D-2	1.00	.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
EST-D-3	.00	.10	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
EST-E-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.00
EST-E-2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.05	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
EST-C-1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.00
GAPDH	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
GPI-A-1	.373	.45	.84	.80	1.00	1.00	1.00	.874	.73	.94	1.00	.90	1.00	.73	1.00
GPI-A-2	.63	.55	.16	.20	.00	.00	.00	.13	.27	.06	.00	.10	.00	.27	.00
GPI-B-1	.50	.63	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.80		1.00	1.00	.86	.00	1.00
GPI-B-2	.50	.37	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.20		.00	.00	.14	1.00	.00
IDH-A-1	.00	.00	.00	.00				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00	.00
IDH-A-2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.0°	00	1.00	1.00	.00	.00
IDH-A-3	.00	.00	.00	.00				.00	01	.JÛ	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00
IDH-B-1	.00	.00	.00	.00				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.00	1.00
IDH-B-2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.00	.00
LDH-A-1	.00	.05	.03	.12	.15	.25	.05	.00	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

TABLE 2. ALLELE FREQUENCIES AT BIOCHEMICAL LOCI OF SEVERAL STRAINS AND LINES OF BLUE, CHANNEL, AND WHITE CATFISH

Continued

.95 .98 1.00 LDH-A-2..... .95 .97 .85 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .88 .75 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 LDH-B-1 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 ____ _____ .00 .00 .00 .02 1.005 MDH-A-100 .03 .00 .00 .00 .04 .00 .00 .00 .00 .90 1.00 .98 .71 .78 .23 .60 1.00 1.00 1.00 .75 MDH-A-2 1.001.00 1.00 .00 .76 .40 .00 .00 .02 .25 .20 .25 MDH-A-306 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 MDH-B-1..... .00 .00 .00 1.00 .00 .00 .00 ____ .00 -----.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 1.00 MDH-B-2..... 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .00 ____ ____ MPI-1 1.00 .00 1.00 ____ _ ----------____ _ ____ -MPI-200 1.00 .00 _____ ____ _ .00 .06 .00 .07 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 PEP-A-1 _ ____ ____ .00 .00 1.00 .86 .00 PEP-A-200 .10 1.00 1.00 1.00 -----_____ ____ -----PEP-A-3 1.00 1.00 1.00 .90 .68 .00 .07 .00 .00 .00 1.006 -----____ _____ .16 PEP-A-410 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 ____ PEP-B-1 1.00 1.00 .00 _ ____ _ _____ -_ -----PEP-B-2..... .00 .00 1.00 ____ ____ PEP-C-1..... .00 1.00 .00 _ ----------____ _____ ____ 1.00 .00 1.00 PEP-C-2..... ____ ____ _____ _ _ ____ -----____ ____ ____ ____ .74 .68 PGM-A-1933 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.007 .91 .42 .89 1.00 1.00 1.00 .00 .00 PGM-A-2..... .32 .07 .00 .26 .00 .09 .58 .11 1.00 1.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 SDH-A-1 _____ ____ ____ SOD-AI-1 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00____ 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .00 SOD-AI-200 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 1.00 ____ ____ SOD-AII-1 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .00 ____ ____ .00 .00 .00 .00 SOD-AII-2..... .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 1.00 ____ ____ -----XDH-A-1..... 1.00 1.00 1.00 ____ _ -----_ ∞PGDH-A-1..... 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 ____ -----____ ____ 6PGDH-A-100 .00 .00 .008 .00 1.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 6PGDH-A-2 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .90 .80 .00 1.001.00 1.00 1.00 6PGDH-A-300 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .10 .20 .00 .00

7

TABLE 2 (Continued). ALLELE FREQUENCIES AT BIOCHEMICAL LOCI OF SEVERAL STRAINS AND LINES OF BLUE, CHANNEL, AND WHITE CATFISH

¹Is only expressed on LiOH gels. ²This allele had a frequency of .03 the previous generation. ³This allele had a frequency of .28 the previous generation. ⁴This allele had a frequency of .30 the previous generation. ⁵This may not be the same A-1 allele as possessed by the channel catfish. ⁶May be a distinctive white catfish allele. ⁷This allele had a frequency of .89 the previous generation. ⁸This rare allele, which had a frequency of .06 the previous generation, is probably different from the A-1 white catfish allele.

M=Marion, MS=Marion S, K=Kansas, KS=Kansas S, A=Auburn, AS=Auburn S, R=Rio Grande, RS=Rio Grande S, Tif=Tifton, ABL=Auburn Blue, AIWH=Auburn I White.

				Allele				
Strain	F	Esterase-	5	Transferrin				
-	F	I	S	A	В	С	D	
Minnesota	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.00	
Rio Grande	.11	.42	.46	.02	.15	.48	.35	
Trinidad	.13	.03	.84	.01	.14	.63	.21	
Stuttgart	.05	.00	.95	_	_			
Buckholts	.58	.00	.42					
Arkansas								
Marketable	.46	.00	.54	—				
Cull	.15	.00	.85	_				
Auburn	.09	.00	.91	.19	.15	.40	.25	
Uvalde	.16	.01	.83	.12	.15	.41	.31	

 TABLE 3.
 Allele Frequencies at Serum Esterase-5 and Transferrin Loci for Channel Catfish (Adapted From [57])

 TABLE 4. GENE FREQUENCIES AT SIX VARIABLE GENE LOCI IN 10 CHANNEL

 CATFISH HATCHERY STRAINS^{1,2} (Adapted From [48])

				(num	Stra ber of	ain³ fish ass	aved)			
Allele	FFES1	FFES2	FFES3	FFES4	FFES6	FFES7	FFES8	BON1	BON2	BON3
	(50)	(62)	(40)	(44)	(55)	(40)	(40)	(20)	(24)	(20)
Gpi-1										
(100)	0.73	.84	0.83	0.79	0.63	0.69	0.73	0.81	1.00	0.90
(200)	.25	.16	.16	.21	.37	.29	.27	.19	.00	.10
(-100)	.02	.00	.01	.00	.00	.02	.00	.00	.00	.00
Idh-2										
(100)	1.00	1.00	1.00	.88	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.98
(157)	.00	.00	.00	.12	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02
Ldh-3										
(100)	.88	.76	1.00	1.00	.81	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
(157)	.12	.24	.00	.00	.19	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Pgm-1										
(100)	.85	.82	.60	.94	.86	.83	.86	.80	1.00	.73
(340)	.13	.18	.39	.05	.14	.16	.13	.20	.00	.27
(175)	.02	.00	.00	.01	.00	.01	.01	.00	.00	.00
(85)	.00	.00	.01	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Pgd-1										
(100)	.97	1.00	.89	.96	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.60	1.00
(130)	.03	.00	.11	.04	.00	.00	.00	.00	.40	.00
Mdh-3										
(100)	.94	1.00	1.00	.90	.92	.93	.97	1.00	.87	.69
(127)	.06	.00	.00	.10	.08	.07	.03	.00	.13	.12
(50)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.19

¹Electrophoretic techniques of Allendorf et al. (1). ²Allele nomenclature of Allendorf and Utter (2). ³FFES = Fish Farming Experimental Station; Bon = Tifton.

 TABLE 5.
 Relative Body Weight of Channel Catfish Strains Grown in Earthen Ponds Stocked at 7410/HA (Adapted From [22, 59])

Strain	Weight (g)	Strain	Weight (g)
Experiment 1		Experiment 2	
Kansas S ¹	513	ARMK—2 ¹	513
Kansas	459	MK—3 ¹	513
Marion S ¹	486	Kansas S ²	495
Marion	413	Tifton ⁺	373 (403) ³
Auburn (Auburn)	322	FFES—1	361
Rio Grande S ¹	436	M x K	360
Rio Grande	295	Auburn (Texas A & M)	342
		Mississippi (commercial)	307
		MSU—F,	299
		LSU—F ₂	294

One generation of selection.
 Two generations of selection.
 403, mean weight of fish without caudal deformities.

 TABLE 6.
 Relative Body Weight of Catfish Species and Hybrids Grown in Cages and Ponds (Adapted From [16, 59])

Stock	Weight (g)	Stock	Weight (g)
Experiment 1		Experiment 4	
Lonoke channel	3631	Auburn channel	482 ³
Arkansas blue	344	Auburn blue	436
Experiment 2		Auburn I white	397
Lonoke channel	451 ²	Auburn blue x Auburn channel	501
Arkansas blue	322	Auburn channel x Auburn blue	563
Experiment 3		Auburn I white x Auburn blue	292
Federal channel	568³	Auburn channel x Auburn I white.	468
Auburn blue	389		
Auburn II white	361		

Restricted feeding regime in cages.
 Ad-libitum feeding in cages.
 In ponds.

TABLE 7. MEAN HARVEST WEIGHTS OF PARENT STRAIN AND CROSSBRED CHANNEL
CATFISH AND THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE CROSSBREED TO THE BEST PARENT
STRAIN (ADAPTED FROM [21])

Strain	X weight (g)	Percent increase or decrease
Experiment 1		
Marion x Kansas	294 a	1.0
Marion x Marion	291 a	
Kansas x Kansas	261 b	
Experiment 2		
Auburn x Kansas	294 a	13.0
Kansas x Kansas	261 b	
Experiment 3		
Marion x Kansas	336 a	9.1
Marion x Marion	308 b	
Kansas x Kansas	300 b	
AR x MK	310 b	.0
MK x MK	308 b	_
Experiment 4		
Marion x Kansas	694 a	6.9
Marion x Marion	649 b	
Kansas x Kansas	620 b	
Experiment 5		
Auburn x Rio Grande	494 a	7.9
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	458 b	
Auburn x Auburn	454 b	
Experiment 6		
Auburn x Auburn	489 a	
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	413 b	
Rio Grande x Auburn	398 Ь	18.0
Experiment 7		
Auburn x Uvalde	639 a	8.2
Uvalde x Auburn	497 с	15.9
Uvalde x Uvalde	591 b	
Auburn x Auburn	514 c	

Means followed by the same letter were not significantly different (P > 0.05), Duncan's Multiple Range test.

Strain	X weight (g)	Percent increase or decrease
Experiment 1		-
Warrior x Commercial	127 a	14.4
Commercial x Commercial	111 b	
Warrior x Warrior	75 с	
Experiment 2		
Commercial x Tennessee	89 b	20.0
Commercial x Commercial	111 a	
Tennessee x Tennessee	57 c	
Experiment 3		
Marion x Kansas	142 a	31.0
Kansas x Marion	118 b	8.4
Kansas x Kansas	109 c	
Marion x Marion	96 d	
Experiment 4		
Marion x Kansas	51 a	17.7
AR x MK	44 b	2.3
Kansas x Kansas	43 b	
Marion x Marion	43 b	_
MK x MK	39 b	·
Experiment 5		
AR x AR	20 a	
MK x AR	20 a	11.1
AR x MK	18 a	0.0
MK x MK	18 a	
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	8 b	

TABLE 8. MEAN WEIGHTS OF PARENT STRAIN AND CROSSBRED CHANNEL CATFISHFINGERLINGS AND THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE CROSSBREED TO THE BEST PARENT
(ADAPTED FROM [21])

Means followed by the same letter were not significantly different (P > 0.05) Duncan's Multiple Range test.

TABLE 9. PRODUCTION OF 8 GENETIC GROUPS OF CHANNEL CATFISH IN 3 DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS—PONDS, CAGES, AND AQUARIA (Adapted From [33])

Cross	Production (g)					
Cross	Ponds	Cages	Aquaria			
Marion x Marion	. 19733 a	2686 a	799 a			
Warrior x Commercial	. 18383 Ь	2542 a	486 c			
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	. 14779 bc	2271 a	600 b			
Commercial x Commercial	.13961 cd	2418 a	554 b			
Tennessee x Yazoo	.12589 de	1613 b	334 e			
Warrior x Warrior	.10381 f	2498 a	457 cd			
Kentucky x Kentucky	. 8222 g	1784 b	414 d			
Tennessée x Tennessee	. 7920 g	1484 b	294 e			

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > .05).

TABLE 10. COMPARISON OF GAIN, FEED CONVERSION, AND VISCERAL FAT PERCENTAGE IN BLUE, CHANNEL, WHITE, AND HYBRID CATFISH (ADAPTED FROM [13])

Cross	Gain ((g) Feed	conversion	Visceral fat (pct. body weight)
Channel x Channel (Auburn)	482 b	c 1	.36 abc	3.5 ab
Channel x Blue	563 a	1	.21 a	3.8 ab
Blue x Channel	501 b]	.41 bc	7.0 bc
Blue x Blue (Auburn)	436 co	d I	.51 c	4.6 abc
White x Blue	292 e	2	2.24 e	12.1 d
White x White (Auburn I)	397 d	1	.99 d	5.3 abc
Channel x White	468 b	cd I	.49 с	8.4 c

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > .05).

	Expe	riment 1	Expe	riment 2	Experiment 3		
Species or hybrid ¹	Feed 3 pct. w	ing rate of body eight	Feed 3 pct. we	ing rate of body eight	Ad-libitum feeding		
	S	Pct. gain	S	Pct. gain	S	Pct. gain	
Channel	0.9	302	1.0	189	1.2	448	
White x Channel	1.1	242	1.1	188	1.6	319	
Blue x Channel	1.3	212					
White	1.4	194	.9	247	1.3	422	
Blue x White	1.4	186	1.0	200			
Channel x Black	1.4	186					
White x Black	1.5	176					
Blue	1.6	164	1.1	180	1.7	298	
Yellow	1.9	144	1.2	159	1.6	256	
Blue x Yellow	2.3	116					
White x Yellow	3.2	85					
Channel x White			.9	264	1.2	508	
Channel x Yellow			.9	237	1.2	402	
Channel x Blue			1.0	173	1.7	258	
Brown x White			1.1	191	1.6	300	
Black			1.1	157	2.3	181	
Brown x Channel			1.2	161	2.2	196	
Channel x Blue F,			1.3	123	2.3	203	
Yellow x White			1.5	165	2.1	230	
Blue x Brown			1.5	122	3.1	143	
Brown			1.6	103	3.1	143	
Brown x Yellow			1.8	93	4.6	89	
White x Brown			1.9	85	2.6	173	

TABLE 11. PERCENT GAIN OF INITIAL BODY WEIGHT (1 G) AND FEED CONVERSION EFFICIENCY (S) FOR FINGERLINGS OF DIFFERENT SPECIES AND HYBRIDS OF CATFISH FED AT 3 PERCENT OF BODY WEIGHT OR AD-LIBITUM IN AQUARIA (ADAPTED FROM [27])

¹ Black = Black Bullhead, brown = Brown Bullhead, yellow = Yellow Bullhead.

TABLE 12. FEED CONVERSION EFFICENCY OF 12 GENETIC GROUPS OF CATFISH STOCKED SEPARATELY IN 0.04 HA EARTHEN PONDS AND OFFERED 4890 KG/HA OF FEED (ADAPTED FROM [13])

Group	Feed conversion efficency
Channel x Blue	1.21 a
Marion x Kansas	1.22 a
Marion	1.26 ab
Kansas	1.26 ab
Auburn x Rio Grande	1.27 ab
Auburn	1.36 abc
Blue x Channel	1.41 bc
Rio Grande	1.42 bc
Channel x White	1.49 с
Blue	1.51 c
White	1.99 d
White x Blue	2.24 d

¹ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05). Duncan's MRT. Blue, channel, and white are Auburn strain. All other strains are channel catfish.

.

<u>Crucia</u>			Parasites ¹		· · · · · · · · ·
Strain –	Trichodina	Scyphidia	Trichodinella	Cleidodiscus	Ichthyopthirius
White	3, (0-15)	120, (40-200)	0	0	0
Kansas	3, (0-10)	34, (15-60)	0	5, (0-15)	0
White x Blue	3, (0-10)	32, (20-100)	0	8, (6-10)	166, (70-270)
Blue	1, (0-5)	28, (15-30)	3, (0-13)	4, (0-10)	0
Blue x Auburn	4, (0-9)	115, (70-190)	0	30, (5-120)	0
Auburn x Blue	1, (0-5)	145, (60-300)	0	11, (0-20)	0
Auburn x White	1, (0-5)	Ô	0	16, (7-32)	0
Auburn	14, (0-80)	556, (150-950)	. 0	30, (2-120)	0
Auburn x Rio Grande	1, (0-4)	160, (0-800) <i>(</i>	0	25, (0-90)	0
Rio Grande	9, (0-22)	800, (600-1000)	0	11, (0-30)	0
Marion	ÌO Í	89, (15-160)	0	46, (15-70)	0
Marion x Kansas	14, (0-35)	22, (0-200)	0	10, (0- 30)	0

TABLE 13. PARASITIC LOAD ON CATFISH FINGERLINGS GROWN IN PONDS AT 146,000/HA (ADAPTED FROM [55])

¹ Numbers outside parenthesis are average numbers for each fish and those within parenthesis are range of parasitic load. Blue and white catfish are Auburn strain. All other strains are channel catfish.

TABLE 14. SUSCEPTIBILITY OF SIX GENETIC GROUPS OF CHANNEL CATFISH EXPERIMENTALLY INFECTED WITH FLEXIBACTER COLUMNARIS

Experiment 1		Experiment 2	
Genetic group	Percent mortality	Genetic group	Percent mortality
Auburn	33	Marion	0
Marion	63	Marion x Kansas	0
Dakota x Rio Grande	50	Auburn x Rio Grande	11
Auburn x Rio Grande	75	Rio Grande	25
Rio Grande	63		

TABLE 15. MORTALITY OF EIGHT GENETIC GROUPS OF FINGERLING CHANNEL CATFISH FED CHANNEL CATFISH VIRUS (ADAPTED FROM [50])

Group	X percent mortality
Rio Grande	72 a
Kentucky	43 b
Marion	33 c
Warrior	29 c
Tennessee	12 d
Yazoo	13 d
Tennessee x Yazoo	10 d
Warrior x Yazoo	9 d

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > .05).

 TABLE 16.
 MORTALITY OF CHANNEL X BLUE HYBRID AND CHANNEL CATFISH IN

 PONDS, CAGES, AND TANKS WHEN OXYGEN CONCENTRATIONS WERE REDUCED
 BELOW 1.0 MG/L (ADAPTED FROm [26])

E '	Number	of catfish	Percentage mortality (SD		
Environment	Hybrid	Channel	Hybrid ¹	Channel	
Ponds	500	500	7.5 (0.7)	50.5 (0.7)	
Cages	600	600	51.0 (4.2)	87.5 (2.1)	
Tanks	500	500	33.0 (—)́	100.0 (—)́	

¹ Mortality of the hybrid catfish was significantly lower than that of channel catfish (p < .01).

TABLE 17.	DRESS-OUT PERCENTAGE OF CATFISH GROUPS GROWN IN EARTHEN
	Ponds at 7410/HA (From [17])

Group	Number dressed	Dress-out percentage ¹
Blue	15	64.3 a
Rio Grande	15	64.0 a
Auburn	15	63.3 a
Channel x Blue	15	62.0 b
Auburn x Rio Grande	10	61.5 b
Marion x Kansas	15	60.0 c
Kansas	15	59.3 с
Marion	15	59.3 с
Blue x Channel	15	59.0 с
White x Blue	10	59.0 с
Channel x White	10	56.5 d
White	15	55.0 e

¹ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > .05). Duncan's MRT. Blue, channel, and white are Auburn strain. All other strains are channel catfish.

					Group				
Trait	Marion	Auburn	Warrior	Kentucky	Yazoo	Tennessee	Rio Grande	Tennessee x Yazoo	Warrior x Yazoo
PDL ¹	0.272	0.260	0.257	0.264	0.275	0.269	0.274	0.269	0.278
BD	.153	.150	.148	.148	.162	.153	.160	.149	.151
G	.056	.055	.050	.053	.059	.057	.060	.059	.060
HL	.195	.184	.182	.186	.192	.191	.192	.187	.193
HD	.132	.125	.125	.126	.129	.128	.130	.128	.130
HW	.149	.140	.132	.142	.148	.145	.147	.141	.149
CPD	.078	.077	.080	.076	.077	.075	.078	.074	.076
CPW	.037	.037	.034	.035	.036	.034	.035	.037	.037

 TABLE 18. MORPHOMETRIC RATIOS (BODY CONFORMATION) FOR NINE GENETIC GROUPS OF CHANNEL CATFISH FINGERLINGS (Adapted From [32])

¹ PDL = predorsal length/total length, BD = body depth/total length, G = gape/total length, HL = head length/total length, HD = head depth/total length, HW = head width/total length, CPD = caudal peduncle depth/total length, CPW = caudal peduncle width/total length.

TABLE 19. HEAD LENGTH, HEAD DEPTH, HEAD WIDTH, CAUDAL PEDUNCL	E
WIDTH, CAUDAL PEDUNCLE DEPTH AND BODY DEPTH TO	
TOTAL LENGTH RATIOS OF BLUE, CHANNEL, WHITE, AND	
Hybrid Catfishes (Adapted From [17])	

Group	HW	HL	HD	CPD	CPW	BD
White x White	0.173b ²	0.212b	0.112b	0.083b	0.037b	0.205b
Auburn x Rio Grande	.143c	.203d	.104d	.073c	.032c	.164c
Marion x Marion	.143c	.204cd	.106c	.069dc	.031d	.165c
Kansas x Kansas	.138c	.202d	.100ef	.069de	e .030d	.165c
Marion x Kansas	.141d	.204cd	.097gh	.069de	e .032c	.157de
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	.138e	.207c	.101ĕ	.070d	.029ef	.151f
Auburn x Auburn	.135f	.198c	.099f	.068e	.029fg	.160cd
Blue x Channel	.132g	.193f	.096hi	.064f	.028gh	.154ef
Channel x Blue	.124i	.192f	.095e	.064f	.027ğh	.156de
Blue x Blue	.127i	.183g	.095i	.063f	.026f	.162c

¹HL—head length/total length, HD = head depth/total length, HW = head width/total length. CPD = caudal peduncle depth/total length, CPW = caudal peduncle width/total length and BD = body depth/total length. Blue, channel and white are Auburn strain. All other groups are channel catfish. ²Means followed by the same superscript are not significantly different (P > .001).

TABLE 20. MORPHOLOGICAL COEFFICIENTS DESCRIBING THE OVERALL DIFFERENCES IN SHAPE OF CHANNEL, BLUE, WHITE, AND HYBRID CATFISH (ADAPTED FROM [17])

Strain	Body conformation coefficient	Coefficient of head size	Coefficient of caudal size
White x White	0.822 a ¹	0.497 a	0.120 a
Auburn x Rio Grande	.719 b	.450 bc	.105 b
Marion x Marion	.717 bc	.453 b	.100 cd
Kansas x Kansas	.704 bcd	.440 de	.099 cd
Marion x Kansas	.700 cd	.442 cd	.101 c
Rio Grande x Rio Grande	.696 d	446 bcd	.099 cd
Auburn x Auburn	.689 d	.432 e	.097 d
Blue x Channel	.667 e	.421 f	.092 e
Channel x Blue	.663 e	.416 f	.091 e
Blue x Blue	.656 e	.405 g	.089 e

¹ Means followed by the same letter are not different (P> .01). Channel, blue, and white are Auburn strain. All other groups are channel catfish.

		Percent population captured					
Group	June 16	July 30	Aug. 31	Season mean			
White x Blue	88.3 a²	56.7 abc	74.3 a	73.1 a			
Channel x Blue	83.2 a	71.0 a	39.7 cd	64.6 ab			
Channel x White	77.3 a	42.0 cd	50.0 cd	56.4 ab			
Blue	57.0 ab	68.4 ab	79.9 a	68.4 a			
Blue x Channel	52.6 ab	49.4 bc	55.0 b	52.3 b			
Kansas	51.6 ab	13.2 e	8.3 f	24.3 cd			
Marion	45.9 ab	34.7 cde	25.8 de	35.4 с			
Marion x Kansas	44.2 ab	19.8 de	12.8 ef	25.6 cd			
White	36.9 b	23.3 de	29.1 d	29.8 cd			
Rio Grande	34.4 b	25.2 de	27.9 d	29.2 cd			
Auburn x Rio Grande	28.4 b	21.6 de	12.4 ef	20.8 d			
Auburn	27.2 b	17.4 e	10.9 f	18.5 d			

TABLE 21. SEINABILITY (CATCH PER UNIT EFFORT)¹OF SEPARATELY STOCKED CATFISH GROUPS AT THREE SAMPLE PERIODS (ADAPTED FROM [13])

¹ Catch per unit effort is expressed as mean catch from three replicates having

300 fish per replicate. ² Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > .05). Duncan's MRT. Blue, channel and white are Auburn strain. All other crosses are channel catfish.

 TABLE 22. RELATIVE ABUNDANCE IN THE POPULATION VS. PROPORTION CAUGHT

 BY ANGLING FOR BLUE CATFISH, CHANNEL CATFISH, AND THEIR RECIPROCAL
 HYBRIDS (FROM [61])

Group	Rela abundar	tive ice (pct.)	Proportion in catch (pct.)		
-	Number	Weight	Number	Weight	
Channel catfish	9.07	9.23	2.67	1.53	
Blue catfish	32.82	28.65	22.67	17.32	
Channel x Blue	29.54	37.44	57.33	63.85	
Blue x Channel	28.57	24.68	17.33	17.30	
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
Parent species	41.89	37.88	25.34	18.85	
Hybrids	58.11	62.12	74.66	81.15	
'Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

Table 23. Spawning Periods of Four Strains of Channel Catfish During 1976 and 1977 Spawning Seasons at Texas A & M University, College STATION, TEXAS (FROM [10])

Ctura in	Spawning period			
Strain	1976	1977		
Minnesota Uvalde Auburn Rio Grande	May 5 - May 9 May 22 - July 7 May 17 - June 19 June 15 - August 30	May 5 - May 12 May 16 - June 9 May 28 - June 18 June 18 - June 27		

	Pairing	Age of parents	Number of pairings	Number of spawns	Spawning rate, pct.	Spawning day²	Number of eggs per kg female parent (CV) ³	Survival of progeny to 45 days, number per kg female parent
	Group 1: Marion (M), Kansas (K), and crossbred (MK) strains, 1979							
	M x M K x K MK x MK	3 3 3	32 50 21	9 2 13	28 a 4 b 62 c	4.0 a 10.0 b 2.7 a	5,104 (19) a 6,934 (6) b 7,764 (17) b	440 a 44 b 2,423 c
		Gr						
[86]	M x M K x K MK x MK	4 4 4	24 39 17	13 19 9	54 a 49 a 53 a	2.8 a 10.0 b 2.9 a	8,081 (37) a 8,006 (49) a 8,111 (24) a	1,504 a 1,755 b 1,800 b
		Group 3: Rio Grande (R) and Auburn (A) x R crossbred (AR) strains, 1979						
	R x R AR x AR	3 3	25 18	8 7	32 a 39 a	10.8 a 4.0 b	6,061 (30) a 7,480 (15) b	776 a 1,158 b
		Group 4: Second generation two-way (F2) and four-way crossbred strains, 1982						
	$F_{2}MK \times F_{2}MK \dots$ $F_{2}AR \times F_{2} AR \dots$ ARMK × ARMK \dots	3 3 3	41 13 41	21 8 27	51 a 62 ab 66 b	14.3 a 17.0 b 9.9 c	8,248 (27) a 7,375 (18) ab 6,540 (32) b	2,185 a 2,331 a 2,272 a

TABLE 24. REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE BY STRAINS AND CROSSBREEDS OF CHANNEL CATFISH¹

¹ Chi-square contingency test for spawning rate, chi-square test for juvenile survival, Duncan's multiple-range test for spawning day and fecundity. Within each group separately, values in a column with a letter in common are not significantly different $(P > 0.05)^1$ (from [25]). ² Days from the first spawning within a group. ³ Coefficient of variation: CV = 100(SD)/mean.

Per hectare	Strain ²							
density	FFES-1	FFES-2	FFES-3	FFES-4	FFES-6	FFES-8		
Weight (g) ¹								
4970	399	378	420	380	377	345		
7410	359	384	406	352	378	315		
9850	326	303	343	331	351	261		
Percent Survival								
4970	78.1	96.2	79.9	85.6	89.9	94.5		
7410	86.3	96.1	86.5	88.7	79.4	96.3		
9850	87.2	94.5	82.4	68.3	83.7	98.1		
Yield (kg/ha)								
4970	3115	3953	3439	3624	3343	3260		
7410	5157	5864	5312	5150	4470	4552		
9850	5504	6026	5529	4696	5705	4991		
Feed Conversion Efficiency								
4970	1.35	1.62	1.46	2.18	1.09	1.30		
7410	1.29	1.59	1.47	2.05	1.19	1.37		
9850	1.39	1.85	1.68	2.46	1.27	1.56		

CONVERSION EFFICIENCY OF FISH FROM SIX CHANNEL CATFISH STRAINS
EVALUATED AT THREE DENSITIES AFTER 150 DAYS
(Personal Communication, [48])

¹ Adjusted for initial weight. ² FFES = Fish Farming Experimental Station.

 TABLE 26. GENETIC GROUPS EXPRESSING IMPROVED PERFORMANCE FOR COMMERCIAL TRAITS IN RESEARCH TESTS (FROM TABLES 5-25)

Traits	Genetic group ¹
Body weight	ARMK-2 MK-3 Kansas select Marion x Kansas Channel x Blue
Resistance to Disease	Channel x Blue Kansas Kansas select
Tolerance of Low Oxygen Concentration	Channel x Blue
Seinability	Blue catfish Channel x Blue Marion Marion select
Hook and Line Vulnerability	Marion x Kansas Channel x Blue
Dressing Percentage	Blue catfish Channel x Blue Auburn Minnesota Rio Grande Uvalde
Spawning Rate	Marion x Kansas (brood)
Early Spawning, Large Eggs and Fry	Minnesota

¹ Each genetic group is ranked 1 or 2 in research tests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to express our appreciation to personnel at the federal, state, and private fish hatcheries for their contributions to Circular 273. Data contained in the circular were collected through April 1, 1984. We welcome additions to this information to allow future updating of the circular when appropriate. We also acknowledge support for this project by the United States Department of Agriculture (Research Grant USDA ARS-587 B30-1-349).

REFERENCES

- (1) Allendorf, F. W., N. Mitchell, N. Ryman, and G. Stahl. 1977. Isozyme Loci in Brown Trout (*Salmo trutta* L.): Detection and Interpretation from Population Data. Hereditas 86:179-190.
- (2) ______ and F. M. Utter. 1979. Population Genetics. In W. S. Hoar, D. S. Randall, and J. R. Brett, eds. Fish Physiology, Vol. 8. Academic Press, New York, N.Y., U.S.A. pp. 407-454.
- (3) Bean, R. 1977. Genetic and Environmental Variation in Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Masters Thesis. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La.
- (4) Beaver, J. A., K. E. Sneed, and H. K. Dupree. 1966. The Difference in Growth of Male and Female Catfish in a Hatchery Pond. Progressive Fish-Culturist 28:47-50.
- (5) Bondari, K. 1981. A Study of Abnormal Characteristics of Channel Catfish and Blue Tilapia. Proceedings Southeastern Association Fish and Wildlife Agencies 35:568-580.
- (6) ______. 1983. Response to Bidirectional Selection for Body Weight in Channel Catfish. Aquaculture 33:73-81.
- (7) Brooks, M. J., R. O. Smitherman, J. A. Chappell, and R. A. Dunham. 1982. Sex-weight Relations in Blue, Channel, and White Catfishes: Implications for Brood Stock Selection. Progressive Fish-Culturist 44:105-106.
- (8) _____, R. O. Smitherman, J. A. Chappell, J. C. Williams, and R. A. Dunham. 1982. Length Variation in Species and Hybrid Populations of Blue, Channel and White Catfishes. Southeastern Association Fish and Wildlife Agencies 36:190-195.
- (9) Broussard, M. C. 1979. Evaluation of Four Strains of Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, and Intraspecific Hybrids Under Aquacultural Conditions. Doctoral Dissertation. Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.
- (10) Broussard, M. C. and R. R. Stickney. 1981. Evaluation of Reproductive Characteristics of Four Strains of Channel Catfish. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 110:502-506.
- (11) Burnside, M. C., J. W. Avault, Jr., and W. G. Perry. 1975. Comparison of a Wild and a Domestic Strain of Channel Catfish Grown in Brackish Water. Progressive Fish-Culturist 37:52-54.
- (12) Busch, R. L. 1978. Effects of Clomiphene Citrate on the Reproductive Cycle of Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Doctoral Dissertation. Auburn University, Ala.
- (13) Chappell, J. A. 1979. An Evaluation of Twelve Genetic Groups of Catfish for Suitability in Commercial Production. Doctoral Dissertation. Auburn University, Ala.
- (14) Clark, B. 1979. Karyology of Ictalurus melas (Rafinesque), I. natalis (LeSueur) and I. punctatus (Rafinesque) (Cypriniformes: Osteichthyes). Masters Thesis, Middle Tennessee State University.

- (15) ______ and P. Mathis. 1982. Karyotypes of Middle Tennessee Bullheads: Ictalurus melas and Ictalurus natalis (Cypriniformes: Ictaluridae). Copeia. 1982:457-460.
- (16) Collins, R. A. 1970. Cage Culture of Catfish in Reservoir Lakes. Proceedings Southeastern Association of Game Fish Commissioners 24:489-496.
- (17) Dunham, R. A., M. Benchakan, R. O. Smitherman, and J. A. Chappell. 1983. Correlations Among Morphometric Traits of 11-month-old Blue, Channel, White, and Hybrid catfishes and the relationship to dressing percentage at 18-months of age. Journal of World Mariculture Society 14:668-675.
- (18) _____, D. P. Philipp, and G. S. Whitt. 1980. Levels of Duplicate Gene Expression in Armoured Catfishes. Journal of Heredity 71:248-252.
- (19) ______ and R. O. Smitherman. 1981. Growth in Response to Winter Feeding by Blue, Channel, White, and Hybrid Catfish. Progressive Fish-Culturist 43:63-66.
- (20) ______ and R. O. Smitherman. 1982. Effect of Selecting for Growth Rate on Reproductive Performance in Channel Catfish. Southeastern Association Fish and Wildlife Agencies 36:182-189.
- (21) ______ and R. O. Smitherman. 1983. Crossbreeding Channel Catfish for Improvement of Body Weight in Earthen Ponds. Growth 47:97-103.
- (22) ______ and R. O. Smitherman. 1983. Response to Selection and Realized Heritability for Body Weight in Three Strains of Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, Grown in Earthen Ponds. Aquaculture 33:89-96.
- (23) _____, R. O. Smitherman, M. J. Brooks, M. Benchakan, and J. A. Chappell. 1982. Paternal Predominance in Channel-blue Hybrid Catfish. Aquaculture 29:389-396.
- (24) _____, R. O. Smitherman, J. A. Chappell, P. N. Youngblood, and T. O. Bice. 1982. Communal Stocking and Multiple Rearing Techniques for Catfish Genetics Research. Journal of World Mariculture Society 13:261-267.
- (25) _____, R. O. Smitherman, J. L. Horn, and T. O. Bice. 1983. Reproductive Performance of Crossbred and Pure-strain Brood Stock. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 112:436-440.
- (26) _____, R. O. Smitherman, and C. Webber. 1983. Relative Tolerance of Channel x Blue Hybrid and Channel Catfish to Low Oxygen Concentrations. Progressive Fish-Culturist 45:55-56.
- (27) Dupree, H. K. and O. L. Green. 1969. Comparison of Feed Conversion and Growth Rate of Six Catfish Species and Their Hybrids. Southeastern Fish Cultural Laboratory, Marion, Ala. 13 pp.

۱

(28) _____, O. L. Green, and K. E. Sneed. 1966. Techniques for the Hybridization of Catfish. Southeastern Fish Cultural Lab. U.S.D.I., Marion, Ala. Mimeo.

- (29) El-Ibiary, H. M. and J. A. Joyce. 1978. Heritability of Body Size Traits Dressing Weight and Lipid Content in Channel Catfish. Journal of Animal Science 47:82-88.
- (30) _____, K. W. Washburn, J. W. Andrews, and T. K. Hill. 1976. Sources of Variations in Body Size Traits, Dress Out Weight and Lipid Content in Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 105:267.
- (31) Giudice, J. 1966. Growth of Blue x Channel Catfish Hybrid as Compared to its Parent Species. Progressive Fish-Culturist 28:142-145.
- (32) Goodman, R. K. 1973. A Comparison of Morphometric Characteristics of Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus* (Rafinesque) from Seven Different Geographic Locations. Masters Thesis. Auburn University, Ala.
- (33) Green, O. L., R. O. Smitherman, and G. B. Pardue. 1979. Comparisons of Growth and Survival of Channel Catfish *Ictalurus punctatus*, from Distinct Populations in T. V. R. Pillay and W. A. Dill, eds. Advances in Aquaculture. Fishery News Books, Ltd. Farnham, Surrey, England. 626-628 p.
- (34) Hill, T. K., G. B. Pardue, and B. W. Smith. 1970. An Evaluation of Several Marks on Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus* (Rafinesque) Proceedings Annual Conference Southeastern Association Game Fish Commissioners 24:304-307.
- (35) Hubbs, C. L., and K. Lagler. 1958. Fishes of the Great Lakes Region. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich. 23 pp.
- (36) Hudson, R. G. 1976. A Comparison of Karyotypes and Erythrocyte DNA Quantities in Several Species of Catfish (Siluriformes) with Phylogenetic Implications. Doctoral Dissertation, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N.C.
- (37) Jensen, J., R. A. Dunham, and J. Flynn. 1983. Producing Channel Catfish Fingerlings. Alabama Cooperative Extension Service, Auburn University, Ala. Circular ARN-327. 24 pp.
- (38) Joyce, J. A. and H. M. El-Ibiary. 1977. Persistency of Hot Brands and Their Effects on Growth and Survival of Fingerling Channel Catfish. Progressive Fish-Culturist 39:112-114.
- (39) Kincaid, H. L. 1982. Trout Strain Registry. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Kearneysville, W. Va. 118 pp.
- (40) Leary, J. L. 1908. Description of San Marcos Station of the Methods of Propagation in Use at That Station. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 37:75-81.
- (41) ______. 1909. Propagation of Crappie and Catfish. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 39:143-148.
- (42) Lee, D. S., C. R. Gilbert, C. H. Hocutt, R. E. Jenkins, D. E. McAllister, and J. R. Stauffer, Jr. 1980. Atlas of North American Freshwater Fishes. Publication #1980-12 of the North Carolina Biological Survey. 854 pp.
- (43) LeGrande, W. H. 1981. Chromosomal Evolution in North American Catfishes (Siluriformes, Ictaluridae) with Particular Emphasis on the Madtoms, *Noturus*. Copeia 1981:33-52.

- (44) ______ and T. M. Cavender. 1980. The Chromosome Complement of the Stonecat Madtom, *Noturus flavus*, with Evidence for the Existence of a Possible Chromosomal Race. Copeia 1980:341-344.
- (45) ______, R. A. Dunham, and R. O. Smitherman. 1984. Comparative Karyology of Three Species of North American Catfishes (Siluriformes: *Ictaluridae: Ictalurus*) and Four of Their Hybrid Combinations. Copeia. 1984:873-878.
- (46) McGinty, A. S. 1980. Survival, Growth, and Variation in Growth of Channel Catfish Fry and Fingerlings. Doctoral Dissertation. Auburn University, Ala.
- (47) Moyle, P. B. 1976. Inland Fishes of California. University of California Press. Berkeley. 405 pp.
- (48) Phelps, S., H. L. Kincaid, and D. Greenland. Identification and Management Implications of the Genetic Diversity in Eight Channel Catfish Hatchery Strains. In preparation.
- (49) Plumb, J. A. and J. Chappell. 1978. Susceptibility of Blue Catfish to Channel Catfish Virus. Proceedings Annual Conference Southeastern Association Fish and Wildlife Agencies 32:680-685.
- (50) _____, O. L. Green, R. O. Smitherman, and G. B. Pardue. 1975. Channel Catfish Virus Experiments with Different Strains of Channel Catfish. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 104:140-143.
- (51) Prather, E. E. 1961. A Comparison of Production of Albino and Normal Channel Catfish. Proceedings Annual Conference Southeastern Association Game and Fish Commissioners 15:302-303.
- (52) ______ 1973. Fishing Success for Channel Catfish and White Catfish in Ponds with Daily Feeding. Proceedings Annual Conference Southeastern Association Game and Fish Commissioners 27:347-355.
- (53) Reagan, R. E. 1979. Heritabilities and Genetic Correlations of Desirable Commercial Traits in Channel Catfish. Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Resource Report. Stoneville, Miss. 4 pp.
- (54) _____, G. B. Pardue, and E. J. Eisen. 1976. Predicting Selection Response for Growth of Channel Catfish. Journal of Heredity 67:49-53.
- (55) Shrestha, S. B. 1977. The Parasites of Different Strains and Species of Catfishes (Ictalurus sp.) Masters Thesis. Auburn University, Ala.
- (56) Skow, L. C. 1975. Serum Esterase Variation in Channel Catfish: Genetic and Population Analysis. Proceedings Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners, 29:57-62.
- (57) _____ 1976. Blood Protein Variation in Eight Stocks of Channel Catfish. Doctoral Dissertation, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex.
- (58) Smitherman, R. O., R. A. Dunham, T. O. Bice, and J. L. Horn. 1984. Reproductive Efficiency in the Reciprocal Pairings of Two Strains of Channel Catfish. Progressive Fish-Culturist. 46:106-110.

- (59) _____, R. A. Dunham, and D. Tave. 1983. Review of Catfish Breeding Research 1969-1981 at Auburn University. Aquaculture 33:197-205.
- (60) _____, H. M. El-Ibiary, and R. E. Reagan. 1978. Genetics and Breeding of Channel Catfish. Regional Research Publication 223, Southern Cooperative Series. 34 pp.
- (61) Tave, D., A. S. McGinty, J. A. Chappell, and R. O. Smitherman. 1981. Relative Harvestability by Angling of Blue Catfish, Channel Catfish, and Their Reciprocal Hybrids. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 1:73-76.
- (62) ______ and R. O. Smitherman. 1982. Spawning Success of Reciprocal Hybrid Pairings Between Blue and Channel Catfishes With and Without Hormone Injection. Progressive Fish-Culturist 44:73-74.
- (63) Taylor, P. W. 1977. Serum Protein and Hemoglobin Characteristics of Various Catfish (*Ictalurus* sp.) Under Normal and Diseased Conditions. Doctoral Dissertation. Auburn University, Ala.
- (64) Wolters, W. R., C. L. Chrisman, and G. S. Libey. 1981. Lymphocyte Culture for Chromosomal Analyses of Channel Catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Copeia 2:503-504.
- (65) _____, C. L. Chrisman, and G. S. Libey. 1981. Induction of Triploidy in Channel Catfish. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 110:310-312.
- (66) _____, G. S. Libey, and C. L. Chrisman. 1982. Effect of Triploidy on Growth and Gonad Development of Channel Catfish. Transactions of American Fisheries Society 111:102-105.
- (67) Yant, R., R. O. Smitherman and O. L. Green. 1975. Production of Hybrid (Blue x Channel) Catfish and Channel Catfish in Ponds. Proceedings Annual Southeastern Association Game and Fish Commissioners 29:86-91.
- (68) Youngblood, P. N. 1980. Growth and Feed Conversion of Six Genetic Groups of Adult Channel Catfish Selected as Broodstock. Masters Thesis. Auburn University, Ala.

Alabama's Agricultural Experiment Station System AUBURN UNIVERSITY

With an agricultural research unit in every major soil area, Auburn University serves the needs of field crop, livestock, forestry, and horticultural producers in each region in Alabama. Every citizen of the State has a stake in this research program, since any advantage from new and more economical ways of producing and handling farm products directly benefits the consuming public.



Research Unit Identification

Main Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn.
 ☆ E. V. Smith Research Center, Shorter.

- 1. Tennessee Valley Substation, Belle Mina.
- 2. Sand Mountain Substation, Crossville.
- 3. North Alabama Horticulture Substation, Cullman.
- 4. Upper Coastal Plain Substation, Winfield.
- 5. Forestry Unit, Fayette County.
- 6. Chilton Area Horticulture Substation, Clanton.
- 7. Forestry Unit, Coosa County.
- 8. Piedmont Substation, Camp Hill.
- 9. Plant Breeding Unit, Tallassee.
- 10. Forestry Unit, Autauga County.
- 11. Prattville Experiment Field, Prattville.
- 12. Black Belt Substation, Marion Junction.
- 13. The Turnipseed-Ikenberry Place, Union Springs.
- 14. Lower Coastal Plain Substation, Camden.
- 15. Forestry Unit, Barbour County.
- 16. Monroeville Experiment Field, Monroeville.
- 17. Wiregrass Substation, Headland.
- 18. Brewton Experiment Field, Brewton.
- 19. Solon Dixon Forestry Education Center, Covington and Escambia counties.
- 20. Ornamental Horticulture Substation, Spring Hill.
- 21. Gulf Coast Substation, Fairhope.